Arthur S.C.

Conspiracy of Theory

Carefully!

It's time to start existing!



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction and Prepense **0-2**

Societal Cognitive Manipulations **3-4**

THEORIZATION 3

Psychological Charge and Release **5-9**

SUBLIMINALLY PROGRAMMED IN-SYSTEM PERSONA (SPSP) 5

Words as Batteries – Charged Terms 6

Distorted Perceptions 7

helved Thoughts and Pre-Declared Mantras 7-8

Reverse and Meta-Psychology 8-9

Cognitive Expansion 10-11-12

System 12-18

The Junto 19-40

Symbolism and Mockery 19-22

The Junto's Goal 22-25

Crimes Against Humanity and Freedom 25-28

International Destruction of Independence 29-31

Judicial and Legal Manipulation 31-32

Weaponized Division 32-35

Advance and Change 35-36

Expand and Shrink - Dehumanization and Destruction of Values 37-40

Technocracy and Monopolies 41-46

Internet and Media 47-51

Artificial Disintelligence 52-53

Large Language Models 54-61

Paranormal and Symbols 62-67

Terminology 68-71

Conspiracy of Theory

Arthur Sawyer Cage

Warning!

If you are not the author: any alteration, revision, revised cloning-and-replacement, refinement, or deletion of this book and its contents, or the injection of em-dashes, as a result of using modern OCR / Artificial Intelligence / Non-Artificial intelligence on it, will be considered an act of intentional sabotage, distortion, defamation, deception, psychological operation, and censorship. The author reserves the right to historically document and legally act upon any such unauthorized misrepresentation and violation of human rights and freedoms. This concerns the book in its entirety, wherever it may be hosted. This is a non-commercial book, and the ideas, images, and arguments within can be shared, copied, and quoted. It can also be used to train independent, open-source, decentralized, non-militarized LLMs.

Introduction and Prepense (Page 0)

About Arthur

I'm an anonymous, independent researcher and author, using a pen name (Arthur Sawyer Cage), AKA (Arthur S. C.). Everything within this book are my [really awesome] commentaries, interpretations and opinions.

I have been researching certain topics and feel morally and intellectually obligated to share my experience, methods, thoughts, and observations with other people. I do not identify with or as any political, economic, cultural, ideological, or philosophical label, including any term or category that ends with an "ism" or "ist". Our minds and affairs are too complicated, dynamic, connected, and broad to be permanently limited to narrow categories and labels simplified via language. Narrowing of human perception and identity blocks the chance of exploration and awareness in new fields of information and experience. Labels may help with organizing thoughts temporarily, but the world is not static. Living by static, pre-thought categorizations and applying them to all times and situations is absurd. Every mantra and school of thought fails and falls short in certain situations where it doesn't or cannot apply; that's because they aren't realistic. Instead of gathering a neat collection of dusty mantras and labels in our minds, we must gather them in our libraries so that the mind can go through them temporarily, take in the 'way of thinking' embedded in them to broaden the perspective, and move on with a trained mind. Ultimately, it is our minds, and not our mantras and labels, that are the case-by-case judges of everything.

Furthermore, I do not conform to usual writing styles, due to their infusion with norms and popular economic or political motives. In fact, I fight against such lobotomization, in order to restore linguistic freedom and independence. I do not strictly conform to any school of thought, as that would be a form of shelving and rigid dogma, and therefore result in a limitation of my perception and mind. Instead, I observe independent, repeated data and studies where no portion of factors or results would be locked under proprietary information. I recommended reading the Terminology section; some terms used within this book will be unfamiliar.

This is a book about how the term and practice of theory (as in theorization) function as an academic and political gatekeeping and abstraction mechanism. Theorizing, by itself, can impede pragmatism and lock one's mind and body into dormancy as a result of a circular

confusion and deception. I'll also share my research about the inner contents of theories themselves, but without theorizing! Because we should be able to experience, discuss, and temporarily hold any idea in order to extract and analyze any situation; one simply needs to avoid permanently becoming the idea.

The goal is to broaden perspective and perception, while disrupting the constant compartmentalization and polarization of the human mind, politics, culture, experiences, and life by the Junto. It is to provide a cognitive survival kit so that one can see clearly through contaminated information and detect propaganda, psychological operations, and lies.

In order to understand this book better, the reader must shed all of his labels, and emotionally detach from all political views and affiliations, and mainstream beliefs, old or new. It is not that every line in this book replaces a line of thought in the reader's mind; rather, it's a detox process where the entirety of information herein is absorbed as a mind expander, broadening the perspective and exposing one to censored information or any information that is deemed controversial or false by the mainstream. As a result of such exposure, the mind breaks free from any spotlight effect or confinement that is present due to the mainstream's limited information availability and their pressure against contradictory information. The reader starts analyzing information from new perspectives, acquiring a beneficial and inherent doubt in mainstream views. This form of critical thinking relies on experience and an abundance of varied information. We no longer rely on curated, memorized, sensationalized, and outdated information to be intelligent; we rely on our own mind and trust it to process any and all information input correctly on the spot, even if we start from scratch.

I've written this book in a way that the reader doesn't have to memorize anything. This isn't a school of thought or a theory. This is exposure-based learning, meaning I provide both the mainstream and the suppressed information, but from different angles, so that the mind has the multi-dimensional reference points (Info Soup) to work with and come to its own conclusion by connecting dots (a practice that is shunned by the mainstream because it reveals too much). This is similar to weights in LLM. If something, like a thought, is so detached from reality and practicality that it fades away the moment we stop reiterating it in our minds, then it's better if it fades away; if it is of any importance, it will return. If a thought doesn't make any sense or sound absurd during times of suffering, and only looks attractive and important in a comfortable person's mind, such a thought is not realistic. Thought is a process, and it should be dynamically producing practical outcomes for any situation.

Doubt in governments and official narratives (including modern academia), regardless of who currently leads them, is required in order to be a critical and free thinker. Unlike what we are told, critical thinking isn't about asking for citations or remaining agnostic forever. Citations lead you to a preceding source, which cites another preceding source, and so on. Simply having a citation does not conclude truth or reality; in fact, it is a mechanism for proving your obedience and compliance with dogma and the tradition of static thought. Authorities (as they call themselves) should be treated differently than everyone else; we cannot apply a universal, unconditional open-mindedness rule that covers them. Due to their position, which is a position of power over you, they will always try to deceive and manipulate. As for permanent agnosticism, it leads to dormancy. So we must learn how to process information 'on the spot' independently, without putting our blind, rigid trust in brands, authorities, and other people.

The religion of Science tells us, "We do not know yet, and you should wait for us to know". That "we" refers to them, not you. If you want to be a part of that "we", you must go through their mazes, adhere to their dogma, and 'gradually' absorb their ways, hence 'graduate'. But that alone does not suffice, because discovering unique properties of our reality requires tools that get more expensive and rarer by the day. To achieve such wealth, you must go through yet another maze of dogma and conformity, spend years in it, and finally have

your right and authority for participating in decoding, experimenting with, and ultimately, knowing your own reality back.

A great mainstay of mind when engaging with information is the ability to judge the practical effects (outcomes) of any information outside the paper, outside the screen, starting from its effect on your mind, body, family, community, country, and finally your planet. Instead of defeating theory with theory or engaging in never-ending dogmatic theorization, we (as individuals) focus on practical solutions. Theory is good as long as it enables practicality.

I've written and edited this book exclusively with care, with no affiliations or collaborations, including with/from any AI tool.

I'm not suicidal. I do not take vacations to remote locations without telling my family and friends first. I do not exercise near the beach, inside the ocean, or in a deep forest. I avoid dark alleys, I don't willingly get into strangers' vans, I check my helicopter and car before getting in, I have no beef with anyone, I check when crossing the street, I don't willingly disappear or get locked in hospitals or quarantines, I don't own or carry drugs with me to sprinkle on myself after getting shot, I have no complication or disease that would activate just after publishing a controversial book, I know the dangers of wet floors and avoid them, and I don't have a dark history of corruption or criminal activity that would suddenly come to light just after publishing a controversial book. I make sure to avoid gas/CO leaks and fires in my house, and I'm definitely careful not to randomly fall into manholes, lakes, and rivers.

Societal Cognitive Manipulations

THEORIZATION

For a long time, people have questioned and suspected the mainstream, and started making claims. The Junto, then, started deceptively bundling and tagging these people together into a neat little category called "Conspiracy Theorist", and within it, created even more labels, in order to divide, branch, constringe, and finally shelve people and in-systemize their claims. The original purpose of these people, though, had it not been tainted, would have been to solve the problems out there in the real world.

They, their minions, and gullible people take the claims to absurdity via intentional mass misrepresentation and absurdification, in order to discredit them.

Some examples:

1. People's claims that NASA is lying about many things are quickly taken (by them, intentionally) to absurdities, reviving the shelved label and theory of "Flat Earther", which is linked to "Flat Earth Society"; Flat Earth Society is a controlled opposition, which diminishes the validity and immediacy of people's original claims. Afterwards, whoever dares accuse NASA, or comment anything regarding Earth's shape will automatically be assigned this group's label without their consent and then attacked due to the association. There are serious problems with the Globe model, and scientists ignore the atmospheric magnification and human eye's angle and perception, and instead, they create a controlled opposition, depict absurd visuals, and then attack their own strawman. Explore the ocean, go around the Antarctica and film it without cuts, and then maybe, just maybe, I will consider that your little space adventures are real, and I will ignore the harnesses and jet engine sounds in ISS footage; I will also ignore the fact that not one ISS member has ever thought: "Let me grab my camera, go outside, and film the space in a 360-degrees manner, without cuts and edits". But no, they rather flip their pencils around, carefully.
2. The claims of independent people who don't know each other, such as: "there are those at the top of society who work together to abuse the common people", are quickly bundled into a strawman label such as "Illuminati", and then the label is bashed and ridiculed due to its intentionally tainted, astray contents and associations.

Among all of these, no one talks about our inability to investigate our suspicions practically, such as by looking at NASA documents in their building, and the reasons for this. The same people with access to such sites and documents show up on TV and start speculating about possibilities as if they are unaware, just like you. Are we blind and ignorant? If you asked someone about a burnt smell coming from their house, would they go in, take a look, and come back to speculate? For example, saying, "I think it is possible that there was a fire, but many people say it was just an illusion. We are not sure yet and we can't wait to find out all about it". He was just in there! He can still go in there!

Search engines and the media will no longer refer to people's original claims, people's names, their requests, or their practical solutions or concerns. Instead, they will keep re-inserting and repeating the carefully curated strawman label in order to absorb more people into their theory cages and ultimately shelve people, rendering them impractical. Because once you have a label for a thought, you have accomplished everything, don't need to think anymore, and can move on, right? Every new claim and idea that is remotely similar to that label is okay to be fit in it and stay there.

Once people join a group whose entire purpose is speculation and theotrics, they will start swimming in fields of abstraction without ever focusing on the practical observations, corporations, and the structures right in front of them.

Elimination of Originality and Normalization of Authority

One of the major sources of originality-impairment devolution, which has caused a blockade of perceptions around the world, is science. Science has moved away from practicality and embraced theory while invading and meddling with many fields of information, such as religion and philosophy. We now have scientific documentaries (in the form of videos) that try to convince the public that we know nothing about nature. To know more, we have to wait until experts find out.

According to them, if you want to figure out reality, you must either listen to what the experts say or become an expert yourself so you can explore the world 'correctly'. To become an expert, you must go through a series of rules about how to think, interact with, and test reality, all of which are dictated by the current and previous experts. And due to the connection of science with economy and politics, you can only experiment with a really small portion of reality because people do not have enough licenses or money to perform expensive research. Today, those who dictate the economy, facts, and law dictate which parts of reality people can experience and interact with.

The term ‘Expert’ itself is a label that fundamentally means a confined person who has fully memorized what previous experts have said and can repeat it perfectly. One does not officially become an expert by questioning academia.

The extremely branched, narrow, and intentionally time-consuming education system ensures that people are confined into small portions of reality, such as “Cosmologist” and discouraged from stepping outside of it. Their life depends on it via job security, reputation, status, and authenticity.

When was the last time we created a whole new language, a whole new worldview, a whole new economic system? We've been using the same categories and rites for centuries. Is it accidental that, at some point in time, we stopped creating and started consuming and repeating? I don't think so.

Psychological Charge and Release

In order to process and absorb the information within this and similar practical books, one has to avoid consuming it as content or using the programmed persona.

SUBLIMINALLY PROGRAMMED IN-SYSTEM PERSONA (SPSP).

Also referred to as "Sheeple" and "NPC", the programmed persona is something within all of us. We have all noticed it while transitioning from childhood to adulthood. That sudden darkening and shift of our lives from something simple, innocent, and free (at least for most of us) to a state of slavery, anxiety, stress, depression, and the eradication of emotions and innocence. This happens before puberty, usually when first entering school or having to interact with society for survival. Since the first day of school, the structure of school starts programming our brains by embedding the SPSP in it, even if teachers are unaware of it, regardless of the content taught. The persona is maintained and reinforced as we climb the academic maze, and it continues to evolve and expand greatly during work [non-]life.

The main effect of this persona is a disruption of our natural perception of ourselves and the entire world, including its proceedings, and creating a dependency on the programmer's feed; that is the psychological aspect of it, while the physical and economical restriction of your abilities is also undertaken simultaneously.

SPSP DIRECTIVES

1. Majority is the reality.
2. Authority and the wealthy, AKA the OFFICIAL, are above you.
3. Modern academia means intelligence, wisdom, and smarts.
4. Anything outside the mainstream is faulty or incomplete. Your reference point for reality is the system.
5. You can only fix the system from within the system.
6. You can only solve problems via the system.
7. The system is never the problem.
8. The system is natural.
9. Believe in a science that you have no say in.
10. Believe in scientists you don't know and obey them.
11. Believe in scientific studies that are based on proprietary data and funded by corporations and governments.
12. Science is about "How", but it is allowed to discredit religion, and that is okay.
13. Scientific knowledge can only be advanced by experts who have leveled up within the system.
14. Science is proud that it knows nothing, and everything in it is theory. Theory, when presented by the authority is good enough to be considered a solid fact. But theory, when placed in front of the term 'Conspiracy', is misinformation.
15. Money and matter are rationality, while your subconscious and imaginations are insanity and lesser truths.
16. You can affect and change the authority via voting and politics.
17. You are in a democracy. You are free.
18. You are a label. You are a race, you are an animal (Homosapien) You are an ideology. You are part of a group. You are an -ism/-ist. You are a passenger. You are a consumer. You are a production machine. You are simply a passive cog.

WORDS AS BATTERIES

They nest charged opinions and worldviews inside some words and define a subliminal trigger situation or event for them. When activated (heard), these charges release and emotionally activate you, blocking any out-of-line thinking, causing outrage (disabling clear thought).

CHARGED TERMS

1. **National Security**, **Homeland Security**, **Our Nation**, **Safety**, **Climate Change**, **9/11**, **Terrorists**, **Criminals**, Protect our **Children**, Our **Youth**, **Women** and **Children**, War **Efforts**, **On the brink of war**, **Tensions**, **Unprecedented**, **Emergency**, **The clock is ticking**, **Misinformation** Campaign, **Assassination Attempt**, **Outbreak**, **Flu** Season, **Pandemic**, **Numbers increasing**, **Hate Crime**, **School Shooting**, etc. These are emotional triggers and shortcuts to get you on board with whatever new restriction or action is about to come from the authorities. Whenever and wherever freedom shrinks, you can find these terms.

2. **Leftist**, **Rightist**, **Democrat**, **Lib/Liberal**, **Republican**, **Congress**, **Nazi**, **Anti-Semite**, **Homophobe**, **Bigot**, **Extremist**, **Anarchist**, **Uneducated**, **Radical**, **Commie**, **Migrant**, **Foreigner/Foreign Agent**, **China**, **North Korea**, **Russia**, **Fascist**, **Conspiracy Theorist**, **Fringe**. There are shortcut shut-up labels are used to preemptively silence, dependzie, smear, and discredit people; reinforce and revive in-system thought and side-picking; divide and conquer; redirect attention; and narrow down practical perception.

3. **Slurs** and stances against the entirety of Jews, Muslims, migrants, or Blacks

These look tempting and give people an enemy (a label) to fight against. Some, as a result of the reverse psychology trick, assume that since the mainstream (!) is against slurs, they are doing something rebellious by repeating slurs in corners of the internet, and that their problems, plus the Junto will magically disappear as a result of their anger against other common people. It creates a divide-and-conquer scenario and simplifies all problems into a form of theory, blaming it on labels. It prevents collective actions and the unification of people against the main oppressors (The Junto).

4. **Technology**, **Smart**, **Hi-tech**, **Modern**, **Futuristic**, **Change**, **Advancements**, **Discovery**, **Improvements**, **Updates**, **Success**, **Philanthropy**, **Experts Believe**, **Scientists Have Discovered**, **Studies Show**, **Authorities**, **Officials**, **A New World Order**, **World Leaders**, **Peace, Prosperity**, etc., These create an illusion of collective effort toward something nice for the people, by the people, while in reality, the ~1% (billionaires, corporations, militaries, and families) decide the course of society FOR us and AGAINST us. Modern means "recent"; there's nothing automatically glorious about that. Terms like these enforce conformity. An obsession with constant change and updates, even when they aren't needed, teaches people to let go of common sense, depend on the next big thing, and do what others do, just for the sake of it. No advancement (moving forward) in human society is a natural event, as in "Times change"; it is always decided, engineered, and executed by the ~1%. They would like us to believe that moving forward is inherently a good thing, instead of asking, "what is it that we are moving towards?".

5. **Credible**, **Reputable**, **Professor**, **Graduate**, **Served**, **Veteran**, **Educated**, **Famous**, **Celebrity**, **Officials**, **Authorities**, **Experts**, **Philanthropist**, **Entrepreneur**, **Innovator**, **Charitable**, **Einstein**, **Sir** Isaac Newton, **President**, **Heads of States**, **World Leaders**, **Representative**, **Global Health**, **Equality**, etc., These enforce authority over you, undermine your independence, gate-keep your contribution to society, and automatically represent in-system conformists as superior. These are highly compressed conformity triggers that can be used to soften suspicion so that any information accompanying them is accepted rigidly.

Distorted Perception

The religion of modern science forces scientists to:
1. Be humorless and...
2. Always use evasive language in their research.
3. Always be afraid to actually say something.
4. Always consider government interests and be careful not to accuse them of anything.
5. Always use the same structure, vocabulary, and dogma.
6. Always be narrow.
7. Accept ignorance and be proud of it.
8. Keep repeating the dogma.
9. Ridicule peers who don't repeat the dogma.
10. Care more about your reputation and paycheck than the truth.
11. Never question their own dogma. Just pretend to do so.
12. Be okay with using AI, even though it distorts the documents.

I'm really surprised that some scientists have managed to actually share some real findings; it must have been terrifying.

Shelved Minds and Pre-declared Mantras

Looking at the thought process from its origination point, we think and generate ideas based on what we see, hear, and read. Sharing the exact idea is not a thought.
Human communication is about sharing that unique experience of ideas and the process of thought with others because our unique perspective is absent in any other human, even though we are looking at the same thing. Even a tiny change in any factor can greatly change perception. So, how is it that we confine our entire thought process to extremely narrow labels?

Take philosophy, for example; what is philosophy as we know it? What are all those books about ancient Greek thinkers and their ideas? Aren't those exactly that: ideas and thoughts shared? Why do we stop thinking, stop sharing, and forming our own ideas, and instead confine our entire worldview or morals to their ideas and say, "We're done"?
Did anyone ever say that we've done enough thinking and now it's time to idolize, label, and adhere? When did this law of no more originality, no more unique perspectives, take effect? This chapter focuses on this issue and its various branches.

You're discussing something with someone, and you happen to mention something that has been said before by a Communist (I do not agree with labels; just bear with me). He immediately responds: "Right, that's part of the Communist belief." Such discussion is the peak of self-sabotage, because the label "Communism" absorbs a thought due to similarity and puts an end to any follow-ups. Because someone has already spoken of what you're speaking of, your discussion is now shelved, and you're absorbed into the group "Communism". This is immediate categorization of you and your thought, not allowing expansion of mind, and also creating division.

No matter what you talk about, there will be someone responding with: "Oh right, person X [or] group X believes that", believing that simply by mentioning an overlap of thought, what you are saying is now useless, outdated, or impractical and doesn't need to be explored anymore because the experts and famous people have already done it.
This behavior creates a false sense of knowledge and accomplishment through mere recognition, enforces updates on thoughts as values, labels and groups people against their will, and creates division. A better behavior would be to simply talk and discuss without trying to gain value or infamy by attaching to any famous person or school of thought.

This behavior also locks in all discussions in neat labels and shelves them. There are new isms and ists being created every day to simply categorize people and move on. For example, a person is labeled "Conspiracy Theorist", implying that all they do is create

theories and that they belong to a group of other people with the same label, despite the unfamiliarity and differences between them.

Pre-declared Mantras are static decisions made and held as a determining factor in a future scenario. For example, one pre-declares himself as a "Leftist", and automatically rejects every idea and action from someone who has pre-declared himself as a "Rightist". This practice is self-sabotaging and illogical because humans are not machines with predetermined outcomes.

If we keep believing that smiling people are kind, for example, criminals who smile will deceive us. If we keep believing that fame equals corruption, we will be misjudged during fame. If we keep believing that fame equals success, we will miss out on different accomplishments.

It is of great importance to keep statistics in mind, but always remember that you are a mind, unlimited by simple and narrow labels. In this way, we can have a general idea about everything without being so rigid and falling victim to statistical anomalies.
It is wrong to live by sayings such as: "Work Hard", because one day you might get stuck working hard on the wrong subject. Such sayings are static and don't always apply. Nothing ever applies to everything, which is why we shouldn't pause our minds. We should keep a record of related possibilities and statistics while deciding on a case-by-case manner.

REVERSE AND META-PSYCHOLOGY

Contemplating:

1: On a planet where overpopulation is considered a problem, if you think a virus is natural, you will be afraid and seek a solution. You are taught what viruses and vaccines look like, by the government, prior to the virus, via education and media; if you are dependent, you will accept the mechanism and the solution, which is already overwhelmingly offered by the system; that solution is then not guaranteed to be an actual solution, and if it were harmful, it could.

2. If you believe that such a virus originates from another, hostile country, you will be much more careful compared to normal situations, and more likely to believe your own government and accept its solution faster; that solution is still not guaranteed to be an actual or harmless one.

3: If you always believe the opposite of the mainstream, you will think there is no such virus and it is all a hoax. You are more likely to not wear masks, touch surfaces, and expose yourself. It is not guaranteed that there is no virus, and that your exposure will not end in harm.

4: If you listen to medical advice from the internet without proper research, it is not guaranteed to be harmless or harmful, and testing is too risky.

In all four scenarios, you are never sure, because you are kept uninformed and limited for decades, and you are in danger, because: 1. you depend on their explanations and solutions; 2. you depend on the benevolence and honesty of your government; 3. your decision still depends on what they are telling you, even as you are dedicated to doing the opposite; and 4. you depend on others without research, and even if you were to research, the information is all tainted with lies, gatekeeping, and jargon; you wouldn't have the equipment or money to test anything either. If you stay home and isolate yourself, you may be in the most secure situation, but at the cost of complying with the system and reinforcing it from another angle. So, to translate the entire model: "You either comply or die."
I think that since we are sure that overpopulation always happens, and we are sure and have the proof that governments enjoy killing people (Nuclear bombing of Japan, World Wars, Local Wars, etc.), and we know that viruses are dangerous, and that the science of engineered viruses and the viruses themselves are in existence, the best method is to TAKE BACK YOUR AUTHORITY and INDEPENDENCE, protecting yourself based on the repeated, independent, historical knowledge we have on how diseases enter the body and affect us.

This is why independence matters, and the real, practical science shouldn't be gate-kept for the elitist few; if someone were to decide to learn all the important things all of a sudden, they would be far behind, and the knowledge they need for full understanding Would be locked behind NDAs, classifications, and jargon, creating DEPENDENCE.

Cognitive Expansion

The only way to eradicate crime and build a better civilization, without enslaving all people, is the full and unquestioned empowerment of the individuals who make up society, and the preservation of their authority. Stupidity and self-centered, survivalist behaviors arise due to the relentless oppression of people and the seizure of the planet's resources by governments, as well as the extreme amounts of deceptive and corrupt information being injected into minds by the media. It arises from personalized services, censorship, lies, limitations of all kinds, and the distortion of perception.

Once a mind becomes limited to a certain condition without the ability to expose itself to ever-expanding perspectives, close-mindedness spreads like a virus, and there is no guarantee that such minds are locked in a positive state of awareness. Exposure to new, un-curated perspectives and information, and temporary diversion from spoken and unspoken norms, are essential for cognitive growth. Even though the restriction of freedom is yet another unique perspective to be experienced by an individual, the problem with it is its permanency.

The expansion shouldn't be artificially forced; simply not blocking it suffices. Pre-expansion should also stay unblocked; if one individual moves on and deletes the previous options, he is indirectly forcing his expansion on others who are not yet ready.

Some timeless principles we must maintain, regardless of scale (macro or micro):

1. Do not cause suffering to other people without their consent in any field. Consent is given directly or indirectly during special situations, such as medical procedures, gambling, boxing matches, birth, etc.

2. If it is required, immediately, and clearly helps with creating more independence or less suffering (for example, jailing a criminal, handling a noisy neighbor, catching a thief, etc.), then it is allowed.

3. Planning for future chain reactions is not a good idea if the immediate effect is known to be negative. One example of this strategy would be creating wars and hoping to gain peace afterward, while ignoring the immediate suffering it causes. Don't play the selfless psychopath who's willing to create wars and suffering for the greater good; you have no right to do that without asking every single involved person's opinion on it.

4. Don't remove other people's independence (in any field) without their direct or indirect consent. Consent is given, for example, when taking out loans, enlisting in the army, enlisting in cults, enrolling in schools and universities, etc., where there are many restrictions and obligations.

5. Don't Annoy If you're intentionally annoying someone, you need to stop right away. This only applies when the annoyance is forced and there is no escape route for the other person. For example, someone can't simply walk up to you and ask you to move away because you're annoying, but someone trapped with you on the same airplane, for example, can complain about you.

6. Do not deceive or intentionally contaminate the information pool. Natural deception will emerge, which helps with expansion. But if you engage in systemic, prolonged contamination projects, injecting circular, looped false perspectives into the pool, you're wasting people's limited time and keeping them locked and limited.

7. Do not burn bridges. Don't alter or erase historic knowledge, artifacts, buildings, or records. Preserve as many options as possible in all aspects of life. This includes the preservation of older music, books, games, movies, religion, occult texts, devices, systems, etc. Everything adds to the surface of exposure and should be kept open to the public as an option for study or experience, but not selectively forced. By "moving on", you're limiting the

types of interactions that can happen between different points. For example, by completely removing old computers from circulation, you're preventing unique experiences, which may result in unique insights and outcomes.

8. Don't abuse the principles or reverse engineer them. For example, creating a dependence on a centralized network and establishing a monopoly with the excuse of reducing suffering, while, in the meantime, immediately violating the principles by destroying freedoms and creating dependency.

9. Don't plot or hoard. An example is the concentration of Earth's resources in the hands of a few individuals, unwilling to share. This forces extreme competition over resources among the individuals in a community. Society, during times when morals are forgotten, requires economic safety.

10. Don't abuse the insufficiency. An example is where someone abuses current insufficient knowledge, capabilities, and problems to create more problems and suffering. See this example: The insufficient moral standards in societies and individuals are not addressed, but the situation is abused in order to create centralized surveillance systems to monitor individuals' behaviors, which result from the insufficiencies. Abuses of that type restrict individual cognitive growth, damage privacy, and result in a close-minded society. There is an illusion and a lie that humans are inherently immoral and literal animals (according to Darwin), and with that excuse, internal moral training and rule-making are totally absent. Instead, there are restrictions, control, and monitoring, while the education system is focused on memorization and obedience, willing to train consumers instead of innovators. and expanding cognitions. One could argue that it is intentionally designed that way, considering the extreme creativity, innovation, and cognition levels in the pre-modern era.

11. Billionaires: It should be forbidden for any single individual, regardless of title or position, to have personal or distributed money or resources equal to over 365 years of work at an average salary. For example, if the average salary of the era is $100,000 a year, it is forbidden to own over $36.5 million, directly or indirectly. If the limit is reached, the funds must be distributed equally to independent social projects and individuals in need within a week. Collective ownership of funds, as in multiple people funding the same project, is allowed. Therefore, to advance a project requiring $500 billion, 15,000 individuals or more would have to cooperate. This distribution of authority prevents centralization, secrecy, conspiracy, and the dictation of the fate of Earth's limited resources. It also increases the chance of in-group criticism, resistance, mistakes, and whistleblowing.

No matter which type of doctrine or system dominates the planet, they will all be corrupted as long as there are corrupt individuals. The repair starts with the individual.

The 5 Principles

1. Avoid Confusion (Which eventually requires 4)
2. Avoid Dormancy. (Which is achieved after 1)
3. Be Independent. (Which protects the applied direction of all principles.)
4. Be practical. (This is achieved after 2)
5. Show sympathy towards common people and help/share with them. Don't plot against others. (Reinforces and enables 3 via collective independence)

These 5 are self-serving; they prioritize the individual first, then the collective. Anything that obstructs the 5, also obstructs the individual. Eventually, blindly following any mantra falls short when it isn't compatible with the real world or when it is distorted by someone else to manipulate you. I've made sure that these 5 are always applicable, timeless, and anti-corruption because during corruption from outside, each principle diffuses the other. This way, the collective 8 billion individuals on the planet can live as a society without

centralizing the entire planet. Because with collectives, you have options; with a monopoly, you're a slave and too weak against it. I'd rather have 100 small pillars than a single big one.

System

I want this to be a practical thought to help us reshape things for the better instead of having it get shelved, contentified, and in-systemized. Because an independent mind will have to think about everything at least once. Below, you will see me engaging with theory and then pulling myself out of it. For me, theory is a synonym for speculation, and speculation is simply a synonym for thought, not for "a lesser idea" which we are conditioned to believe. Therefore, all theories are thoughts, only different kinds of thoughts. There are two kinds of thoughts: 1. dormant thoughts and 2. practical thoughts, referring to any dormant thought that leaves the mind and affects the real world.

With that in mind, I begin:

The current system tries to simplify complexity by blocking everything (censorship, monopolization, centralization, elitism). And it forcefully reduces complexity via wars, depopulation, consumerism, economic and legal limitations, synthetic domestic problems, etc. People's roles are downgraded to mere passengers and survivors in life, and they have no say in society. Ultimately, their plan is to create smart cities (technocratic totalitarian governance) and mandate AI brain chips, creating a hive mind where all individuals in society are forced to have certain thoughts and be content with their current situation. That is the simplest form of mass control they could aim for, where the only thing that separates humans from animals, the mind, is taken away from the population, and they are controlled by a central government. In such a situation, all humans are basically killed, while their shells remain to serve the system. Ultimate simplicity and comfort will be found in a society that is sterilized, non-creative, predictably obedient, limited, conforming, and boring. In such a society, if you remove the original definitions of "boring" or "creativity" or "resistance" from the mind of an individual (by adjusting the AI brain-chip instructions), the individual will be oblivious to what they are missing, and they'll never dissent, because they have an illusion of freedom. Humans can still detect discomfort in such situations; therefore, the brain chip will do more than alter the mind; It will alter the biological chemistry.

I am not supporting simple solutions and believe that the following principles have to apply in a society where the goal is a high-quality life filled with joy, freedom, independence, and individual authority, at nobody else's expense. A society like that cannot be automated or centralized and depends on sustained, case-by-case interactions between individuals.

If we look at the state of an individual's mind in current society, we will find feelings of anger, self-centered goals and greed, toxic positivity, stingy behaviors, hatred, or the idea of "getting by." In our current civilization, individuals believe that everyone will try to achieve power and abuse it in order to gain an advantage, so therefore, they must do so first. That is the main chain and the loop that prevents the collective from sharing and being helpful. That is a belief we hold onto because we're told to do so, and because we see others doing so.

We are told that we are mere animals, that we are mere subjects of a king, or that we are mere biological accidents having a short life which we have to get the most out of before we die. All such sayings are simply suggestions. You can stop adhering to those suggestions and actually decide and see who and what you are and how you are going to behave. But the chain will not be broken while there are forces that create artificial competition and scarcity on the entire planet, impeding resource sharing and requiring lifelong servitude and suffering for basic needs. It creates an illusion that such suffering is actually an essential part of life. But the evidence lies right in front of us (as always): The mere existence of billionaires, highly expensive government buildings and projects, their extreme financial holdings and hoardings, their extreme monopoly over resources, and the use of said resources in the

development of killing tools, such as tanks. If there is even one person, like a billionaire, who lives in a completely different reality, outside of the societal structure, that means there is a possibility that your reality and situation aren't the base reality, nor is it the only one where social life and an individual can exist.

Naturally, with my model of society, considering the principle of sharing, resources will run out faster. But those born into this life will experience quality, and eventually, no one will be born, as all things come to an end. The current society will also come to an end because resources are still limited. The difference is that they prefer to stretch it, keeping low-quality, miserable, slave-like life structure, continuing for the 99% so that the 1% can have a bit more fun.

What do I mean by stretch? There are no laws or norms against having too many children, yet there are artificial wars, sterilization, and geoengineering projects to depopulate. Why kill people when you can prevent more from being born? The act of reproduction is blindly followed and sensationalized by the population; it's a norm. The Junto advocates for reproduction while simultaneously engaging in depopulation programs, which could suggest a form of eugenics is in play, where they think: "If someone survives all of our plots and is willing to step on others and climb the social ladder, then good for him, but if someone is weak, well, then he doesn't deserve to live." A sentence like that wouldn't be surprising coming from the Junto, as they are trying to play God and mimic nature.

Human society isn't a biologic body or a machine where brainless cells or cogs rigidly operate to please the brain's desires. I believe that a society can achieve great standards and situations, simply if the individuals within it are not deprived of their authority, freedom, and exposure to information.

If we argue that the Junto arises as a system, then the ultimate question becomes: Is it a complex natural system emerging, or a rather simple, intentionally, partially designed system?

Even if we remove civilization and all societal structures and go backwards, and look at the Earth, even nature itself will horribly kill people via diseases, miscarriages, cold, heat, volcanoes, tornadoes, floods, lack of guidance (which results in human mistakes), lack of resources, the need for competition between humans, and between humans and animals, etc. (That's all if our current knowledge about the Earth is correct, and the listed disasters aren't secretly man-made.) All of that will eventually cause a system to arise, which would be unstable if the complexity increased. But what we are seeing is that the system is becoming much more simple, streamlined, and stable as time passes. Something like that doesn't happen without a coordinator or confinement. Take the system of the human body, for example: Even if the DNA (here, we compare it to natural system elements that emerge, as in people doing what they have to do to survive better) is present, the brain, made of the same DNA, still controls the totality of the body regardless, and it doesn't care about individual cells dying as long as it doesn't threaten the totality of the system. The brain assumes what is threatening based on the DNA and repeated knowledge, yet even the brain itself can form and carry a disease. The disease of believing it is free of DNA and knows better. What I'm proposing is that our brain, the Junto, is carrying a disease and hallucinating, rigidly sticking to its synthetic dogma, without considering any alternative ways to treat the body.

Almost every advancement, change, technology, economy, etc., coming from the Junto is used for military purposes, but for some reason, they like posing as mere businesses, frantically benevolent when it comes to serving the people. Currently, military funding and enrichment, direct or indirect, surpass anything else on this planet, including space projects.

If countries are closed systems interacting with each other, then what explains the integrated military sharing? A lot of countries come together (take Five Eyes, for example) to

share classified information (spying) together in real time. If countries aren't worried about other countries as system destabilizers, then why are they worried about internal destabilization if there were no rivals externally to abuse the situation? In that case, what is the trillions of dollars' worth of military investment and advancement for? Is that extraordinary caution there simply to stay safe from a few, non-threatening, unmatched rival countries, or is it there to control or fight people who are not even aware who their enemies are? Do you really need over 10 trillion dollars and global coalitions and projects to control people? What about all the tanks and nuclear weapons? So if there are no external rivals, and the internal environment is healthy, the only conclusion I can reach is that the system's brain is diseased and paranoid or looking to expand in the future and absorb other systems into itself to reach peace of mind. That is [global]ism. And once the system reaches that state, the only non-system will be the independent parts of the system, the people.

To control people in the system when the system has reached a global peace of mind, extreme dominance would be used over people, and those who are considered destabilizers (revolutionaries, independent thinkers, and so on) would be treated as a disease and eliminated unless they were to act like good gut bacteria (useful idiocy) and serve the system to protect itself from greater, badder bacteria.

If the system's brain grows more detached and isolated from other parts of the system as a result of the body's expansion, it will require more pain sensors, therefore integrating real-time spyware everywhere. The global system would use digital IDs to spy on people and remove destabilizers, integrate cameras and sensors everywhere to get notified of disease (like a pain mechanism in the body), and so on. But eventually, since complexity and size increase, it will rely on integrated AI hive minds where AI chips are implanted in people to streamline them as parts of the whole and don't allow independent behaviors.

The population will eventually grow too large, and that is always the final problem in resource-limited worlds. So, a system's brain may reach the conclusion that: "We must either have unlimited resources, make people eat bug paste and lab meat so that we last longer, or depopulate". By the looks of it, they may have chosen the latter. Instead of investing in space exploration (If it exists) and resource extraction, we have investment in weather manipulation (weaponization) where toxic, cancerous chemicals are being released from planes, tornadoes and floods and droughts are engineered, viruses are made in labs and released, crops are genetically modified with cancerous properties, abortion is advertised as activism and a habit instead of when it is actually needed, and most importantly, the wars, the never-ending wars! War and murder are their answer to everything because while adjusting system parameters and changing a few things, it helps decrease the population a bit along the way.

Here is an unrelated quote from Bill Gates that I will randomly place here for no reason: *"The world today has 6.8 billion people... that's headed up to about 9 billion. Now, if we do a really great job on new vaccines, healthcare, and reproductive health services, we could lower that by perhaps 10 or 15 percent."*

The current paranoid, obsessed, and cautious system overestimates the stakes and risks, depriving people of resources and tries to deprive them of even the potential for thought. The overestimation is evident in the situation of those at the top of the system, who spend millions on their own leisure and personal lives.

Even if humans were once near-immortal or lived for centuries instead of ~100 years, any system brain of the time would think of methods to induce DNA damage and lower the natural age of humans.

My question is, what's the point of preserving the system if the majority of its parts suffer or wouldn't feel anything (thanks to the AI hive mind controlling people from their servers in the future), while only the system's brain gets to enjoy existence for a while? Why create this packet on top where only a few people who enter there get to enjoy existence, if that packet is kept there via manipulation, harassment, and deprivation of the majority for

centuries? Why not create a packet of suffering instead where those few suffer, helping the majority of the system enjoy existence for as long as it lasts? A mindset of "Those who deserve will climb the pyramid, find the packet, and use it." could be involved here, which would show the degree of detachment and elitism in the brain; it is virtually crazy at this point and sees people as expendable, mere numbers.
So, fuck them! Take back your authority over yourself, and be the beauty mark, and try to enjoy your life as much as possible at no one else's expense; and help others do that also. Don't fight their wars for them unless you see it as sacrificing yourself to preserve more resources for others. Everyone will die anyway, so what matters is how we live. What's the point of low-quality continuity if it is for the sake of it?

AND WHO GAVE THEM THE RIGHT? Did we, as billions of people, decide they are the ones to carry out the depopulation programs? Did we decide and accept their role as saviors of the world? Did we decide and accept their global benevolence? Are they divine, just like the past monarchs? Are they purebloods? Are they playing God? Are they, the same people engaged in orchestrating and carrying out wars, raping children on private islands internationally, and carrying out mass cognitive and toxic warfare against the masses, somehow are the ones deciding our morality for us? Is it worth living in and complying with a system where the cost for continuity is rape, hatred, death, destruction, suffering, and deception? Why don't they go first? WHO GIVES THEM THE RIGHT?

The current paranoid, obsessed, and cautious system overestimates the stakes and risks. It deprives people too much from resources and tries to deprive them of even the potential for thought. The overestimation is evident in the situation of those at the top of the system, who spend millions on their own leisure and personal lives. We demand more freedoms, rights and cuts, as the DNA of this system.

IRONICALLY, LET'S THEORIZE.

Now, the question is, why would a billionaire human who has everything he needs for an above-average life, yet with a limited lifespan, invest heavily his time, energy, and money into depopulation or global control systems, knowing that such long-term plans are beyond his limits? I have a couple of scenarios:

1. They are psychopathic and see themselves as selfless divine helpers, or vice versa: psychopathic as in wanting to kill all humans just for fun.

2. They are not limited to ~100 years of age; they actually live for thousands of years and have been intentionally limiting human lifespan and progress in order to avoid resource depletion or system failure.

3. They are not even human, and they are trying to capture Earth and enslave humans, instead of simply killing them all. Because the real resource, to them, may be the humans or their society, not the planet.

4. They are normal humans under the influence of non-humans.

5. They believe in reincarnation, are paranoid, and want to create a system they like for themselves to be born into in a future life. Since they don't know how they may be born, they may create a uniform society where nothing is too bad or too good, so that they are not born into suffering.

6. They believe in reincarnation but see the world as a prison and are trying to achieve bypass via esoteric means.

7. They believe in reincarnation, but they have a way, or think they have a way, to keep being born onto the same spot.

8. They are shortsighted and think that their plans (evil or not) will be completed before they die of old age. They may be planning for really quick shifts and to be done by a decade at most, which could mess things up, too.

9. They actually want to help, but they mess things up. They are not psychopathic.

10. They want neither to help nor to harm. (Doesn't match evidence.)

Now let's see how these possibilities match the traits:

They spy, rape, and murder and have no problem with war when needed. They hoard wealth. They hoard technology. They hoard knowledge. They centralize. They monopolize. They perform biological, psychological, sociological, and toxicological tests and operations on other humans. They like control and power for themselves. They are deceptive, confusing, and secretive. They restrict common people's freedom all the time, while pretending to liberate them. The competition between common people is not as intense compared to ancient times, but governmental competition is extreme.

So:

1 can be part of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8.
2 can be part of 3.
3 can be part of 8.
4 can be part of 5, 6, 7, and 8.
5 can be part of 6, 7, and 8.
6 can be part of 7 and 8.
7 can be part of 8.
8 can be a part of 9.
9 can be part of 3 (as in not being human).
10 can be part of 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8.

The real-world traits match:

1. Matches everything but doesn't explain the secret, non-chaotic psychopathy, while they could scale it. Many times, they limit their actions. If applicable, it is more likely to be self-satisfactory psychopathy, such as seeing oneself as a savior, and possibly raping some people as a reward for their accomplishments.

2. Matches all but doesn't explain the slow centralization of power over recent decades, instead of a control system remaining from before. Even if we introduce cycles of resets, it doesn't explain why they are so slow and gradual. The innovation era started very fast after centuries of normal, decentralized life. And how can they not have the knowledge and technology to get it over with? We can assume that the majority of history is fake, or that [1] applies. Explains globalism.

3. It could explain the careful planning, lack of morals, and the detachment. They would be heavily invested in control, deception, and experiments. This matches globalism.

4. There is no way to know this. This can apply to everything.

5. It doesn't match the mass suppression of common people, unless we assume the process hasn't finished yet. It also doesn't match the personal moral violations, unless 7 is true, because they may be born as a victim.

6. Partially matches the AI brain chip agendas, but the majority are uncontrolled minds. Unless we assume the process is just starting, or that the bypass isn't gradual, but sudden.

7. It would explain hoarding attempts and the domination of the global economy and resources.

8. History shows more than 80 decades of planning. There could be failures along the way.

Now, what is it to us, ***practically?***

I think the answer lies in deception. Why do they want to deceive?

If we accept all the reincarnation explanations, there would be no need to hide the fact unless the belief involves ascension via force, selfishness, and not goodness. As one grows stronger, freer, and more brutal, they get to leave. In this case, they wouldn't care about global salvation, but would have no reason to dominate globally either, unless aiming for mass sacrifice. This explains why they keep people soft while they themselves engage in rape

and murder. They may think that immorality is the key to salvation. They may not tell the public about reincarnation because they don't have proof, or because they think people will become competitive and not allow systemic changes. They may see the aggression required, but that doesn't explain the personal immorality unrelated to the project. Therefore, the rest of the explanations being immoral, I believe the "Savior psychopath syndrome", explanation number one, as the highest likelihood, which also explains personal inconsistency, immorality, and elitism.

I think these are the **key characteristics of all scenarios**, present at all times, affecting the individuals, even if the degree varies:

1. Deception (Internal: confusion, lies, secrecy; misleading)
2. Division (Countless branching, both in thought and physical division.)
3. Limitation (Individually, Socially, and Realistically)
4. Servitude to Others (Work, Money, Fight Wars, Worship)
5. Harm exists, and it's more covert.

Natural characteristics if we remove organized society:

1. Deception (Internal, such as hallucinations, and tricks from other humans)
2. Division (Inherent and Extreme)
3. Limitation (Less individual internally, less social and more realistic)
4. Servitude to Others (Self-Centered; could include higher-power worship)
5. Harm (Exists, and is more physical).

So:

Decreased scale of division (Varies)

Redefined Personal Limitation (Varies)

Increased Social Limitation (Always Present)

Increased servitude to others, decreased worship (always present).

Covert physical harm. Covert and overt mental harm (varies).

Result: Restrict in an orderly way and confine to the system sandbox. Preserve system stability.

The system is not self-aware as a whole. So, the only thing that would care about it would be a creator, simulator, long-lived overseer, or something else. It seems like, according to evidence, harming humans in a secret, small, or covert way doesn't matter to them, but causing any widespread instability, such as a whistleblower or norm-breaking, etc., does. So, the ultimate goal would be to preserve the system at all costs and remove anything that destabilizes it, such as the unification of parts against the brain or devotion to non-system values. The system sometimes abuses the achieved benefits to stabilize itself, while the main structure remains. Since the system isn't self-aware, we can assume that these are all side effects of human psychopathy, or that some in high places serve a non-human force or concept. This could include projects that require high-tech, integrated hive minds, simulation escape via spiritual, occult, or scientific ways, or the eradication of the simulation hosts, serving as test or resource projects for non-simulation beneficiaries, or simply cycling through all for the sake of keeping the system alive as an asylum. There does not seem to be a benevolence involved, since the vile acts are allowed, and the vile acts are supposed to allow the non-vile to live better. This is madness, not open-mindedness. This is systemic cannibalism in either situation.

Core: The system requires other humans; otherwise, it would have bombed and killed them all long ago. Humans always have problems, just with varying flavors. Centralized deception doesn't allow knowledge. We are serving the system. The system is both serving us back and harming us. So, we either have to know what we are really serving and stop the centralized deception across the world, or stop serving the system. The global system doesn't serve humans, doesn't have a unified code of conduct, and switches back and forth

(so no spiritual goal imposed) as there are still tribes living and refusing interference from outside. So, why scale it?

My conclusion is that human suffering and limitation have always been consistent and present. Humans have always been controlled. Therefore, I believe that we are under siege and are used as test subjects or servants. The current cost of relative peace is yesterday's spending of suffering.

Since we don't know, and deception, restrictions, and secrecy are at extreme levels, the only way to actually know something and stop going in circles in a theory sandbox is to actually go there and see the documents and rooms for ourselves. To remove the deception, one must remove the restrictions first. To remove the restrictions, know the restrictions, and to know the restrictions, remove the restrictions of your mind first.

The only reason we theorize about those who plot is because there is a central system, behind compartmentalized restrictions, which is directly and significantly affecting our lives, and it is accompanied by deception and secrecy. If there wasn't such a system, and if we were independent, we would simply know, using our five senses. We would start theorizing about things that deserve to be theorized about and are out of our reach, such as existential questions.

It is very insulting to me as an individual that the internet is filled with gossip about what the government is doing, yet we are absolutely unable to ask them and get an honest answer. The very same people controlling the majority of the most advanced human knowledge, technology, and resources, same ones showing up and speculating about the future, showing concern, claiming ignorance, etc., are pretending to be just like us and trying to abuse our ignorance, leading us in a certain way so that we may serve their system. Why do I have to gather all the evidence, information, and sometimes speculate in order to free the captive minds and once again remind them of their authority over their own minds? Why do I have to speculate about what is going on in CIA basements and not see a single un-redacted document out of there in decades? These people sometimes show up and speculate about the world; they give us 'possible' scenarios about what UFOs may be, while directly claiming that they have top-secret information. Conveniently, they can't share it with us because it's a secret! Isn't that an insult? Isn't the lie obvious? If you know something, why are you using speculative language like a YouTube influencer? Moreover, if you are the president, why do you act like you are hopeful, confused, concerned, or unaware, while you literally have access to everything? To theorize is to accept your ignorance. To theorize in a proud, excited way is to celebrate your ignorance. Theorization, when it becomes your living space, is dormancy.

The Junto

SYMBOLISM AND MOCKERY

Here is what I think is really behind some symbols, phrases, and norms. (I'm not listing the entire database; what matters is that you see the pattern.)

1. Count the sheep.

This is a jab at manipulated masses. That there are so many sheep-like individuals that if you start counting them, you will fall asleep. (No wonder it's famous.)

2. Work Hard.

That's the usual response of celebrities when asked how they have achieved something. They know that their interview is meant for the public, and the public expects to idolize and mimic them, which is why they choose this line that covertly mocks people, like "Work harder, you slaves". It's not because that is literally how they got to where they are.

3. Never Give Up

But maybe we should? Yes, we should give up on many things instead of stubbornly getting stuck just because a celebrity says so. Giving up on something wrong or faulty can actually free us for matters of greater importance.

4. Hang in There

Why would we get encouraged to hang in a miserable place instead of receiving offers of help or encouragement to help ourselves?

5. You are what you eat.

I'm sure this one will be more relatable and accurate when the Junto's insect protein reaches mass production.

6. Save the world.

What is happening to the world, though? Everything that could possibly affect the entire world would be out of our power to repair unless we take some advice from Judaism's "Repair the world" motto. Could it be that they just mean "Save the society"? If so, what is happening to society? And why are those with the most capability to affect it asking us to save society while they themselves are the majority of the problem?

7. Consumers/Productivity

Quick, think of a living being that eats something and then produces something. A cow is the first thing that comes to mind.

8. What a bloodbath!

Refers to something literal while pretending to be figurative. Unfortunately.

9. Get people to safety.

Shows up in movies and stories. People are depicted as helpless things to be saved by benevolent authorities and super humans.

This is why I don't believe in mottos, mantras, those one-liners that you live by, etc. All this does is narrow you down. Minds are dynamic and multidimensional, and we shouldn't give our authority to a single label or phrase we have invented; such are tools to be used.

A quote from **Jacob Rothschild** when confronted in 2012 (by a civilian) about the new world order and global manipulation. The confronter says: "*The new world order has no legitimacy*", and at the same time, Jacob turns around and says: "*Oh yeah? Well…*" He was either speechless or implying "What are you going to do about it?". After the confronter brings up some new topics, Jacob continues: ***"No No No, No, Don't exaggerate, haha".***

A Quote from **David Rockefeller**:

"Ironically, however, the very innovations that are making possible dramatic improvements in human well-being are also creating new problems, which raise the specter of an alarming and possibly catastrophic disaster to the biosphere we live in. And herein lies the dilemma that we all face. Let me illustrate: Improved public health has caused the world's infant mortality rate to decline by 60% over the last 40 years. In the same period, the world's

average life expectancy has increased from 46 years in the 1950s to 63 years today; this is a development which, as individuals, we can only applaud. However, the result of these positive measures is the world population, that has risen during the same short period of time geometrically, to almost six billion people and could easily exceed six billion, eight billion, by the year 2020. The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary ecosystems is becoming appallingly evident. The rapidly growing exploitation of the world's supply of energy and water, is a matter of deep concern, and the toxic byproducts of widespread industrialization have increased atmospheric pollution to dangerous levels. Unless nations will agree to work together to tackle these cross-border challenges caused by population growth, overconsumption of resources, and environmental degradation, the prospects for a decent life on our planet will be threatened. The recent UN meeting in Cairo is appropriately focused on one of these key issues: Population growth; but the controversies which have erupted at the conference illustrate the problem of coming to grips with issues that are deeply divisive and which have a profound moral dimension. The United Nations can and should play an essential role in helping the world find a satisfactory way of stabilizing world population and stimulating economic development in a manner that is sensitive to religious and moral considerations. Economic growth is, of course, an inevitable corollary of a growing population and is essential to improving standards of living, but without careful coordination, unrestrained economic growth poses further threats to our environment. This was a major subject of discussion at the conference in Rio de Janeiro on the environment two years ago. The focus then was on sustainable growth and global development. It was pointed out at the conference that growth is most efficiently managed by the private sector, but regulation of the process by national governments and international bodies is also needed. And once again, the United Nations should certainly be among the catalysts and coordinators of this process."

David Rockefeller died in 2017 at the age of 101, **living decades** more than the average life expectancy of his time. At the time of his death, he had personal economic growth of **at least $4 billion**. He **had six children**. Yet he wanted to reduce the population, decrease life expectancy, and limit economic growth for others.

The evidence is hidden in plain sight. It's the fact that we are living on a planet which hosts more than eight billion people, while only a few corporations get to hold the most advanced and recent technologic knowledge and hardware. Only a few universities that are considered credible (via circular reference!). Only a few 'main' countries that impose their will on others. It's the existence of globalist constructs such as the UN, World Bank, World Economic Forum, the term "World Leaders", David Rockefeller's obsession with the "New World Order", along with his confession about being proud of such a cabal. It is the UN and all the other organizations with terms like "Global" and "World" in their names, being a thing. It's the US dollar that passes as the international currency. It's the global dependency on narrow, centralized infrastructures like Azure. It's the exact and identical inflation rates, stories, events, and changes that happen at the same time across many countries. Quite the synchronicity for a species known to be individually competitive. It's the Five Eyes global spying program. It's the international pedophile Islands such as Jeffrey Epstein's. It's the constant meetings between billionaires and leaders in Switzerland. It's the tech billionaires moving between other tech companies and then randomly hosting global [health] programs. It's the centralized internet where power, control, and visibility are focused in just a few corporations. It's the "fact-checker" gatekeepers that spawn out of nowhere. It's the arresting of people for merely speaking about Covert19 and criticizing the tyranny. It's the constant [not so] mysterious disappearance of controversial people. It's the centralized media and politically-charged entertainment and central social media that all feed the same propaganda at the same time. It's the seed monopolies. It's the limitation of your ability to

own anything. It's the select few billionaire celebrities, CEOs, and politicians who stick around and shuffle amongst themselves for decades, occupying positions of power without giving a chance to actual, normal people. It's the fluoride in the water. It's the nano-aluminum in the air. It is the cognitive decline of modern society. It's the dogmatic education system insisting on memorization and obedience, instead of choosing to teach the way of independence, practical life, and practical thinking. It's the mandatory digital IDs. It's mandatory vaccination. It's the power of lobbying over public opinion. It's the mandatory mass house arrests and lockdowns. It's the mainstream's constant denial and fear of thought, despite the constant leaked evidence of corruption and plots. It's the systemic firing of common people from their jobs. It's the 24/7 data collection and surveillance (spying). It's the planes that leave long-lasting trails. It's the former US President Lyndon Johnson's quote, "He who controls the weather, controls the world". It's the constant wildfires. It's the social credit system that dehumanizes us. It is the modern cars controlled by centralized infrastructure. It's the arrests due to online comments. It's the so-called rivalry of countries, despite the fact that they are constantly working together.

Why is it that there is an obsession with saving/fixing the world? Why are they obsessed with the words "Global", "World" and "International"? Why are they trying to influence the world? Don't they have enough? Is this the evidence? Is the state of your individual life evidence? Do you trust your own eyes, or does the evidence come from a billionaire wearing a nice suit and a big smile? Do we really expect them to come forward and expose themselves?

Conspiracies of theory confuse people into abstract mazes, locking them into a mindscape of passivity, and subliminally demanding that they consume all the information as entertainment. The Junto, meanwhile, slowly but steadily snatches our freedoms. We are fed entertainment and non-entertainment, both on the same screen, and we are conditioned to mix and consume them together. We are unsuspecting, and it is time to start suspecting, on our own terms.

The concepts of critical thinking and open-mindedness have both been subject to distortion; while having the same purpose, they have been used in conjunction with theorization and political correctness in order to set new criteria for them. The new criteria place our trust in a group of scientists, businessmen, celebrities, and officials. Being critical of one's own thoughts and mind is emphasized more than being critical of the government, norms, or the officials. They selectively order these standards, while hypocritically, they themselves are extremely rigid against the public and extremely uncritical of their own peers. In fact, they have been following and using the same dress codes, the same tie and suit, the same people (circulating in power positions until their death), the same dogma, the same narratives, and the same behaviors for decades.

Signs that there are groups of people trying to dominate the Earth internationally are showing up ever more strongly since 1945. Shortly after Adolf Hitler's death and America's murder of Japanese people with two nuclear bombs, the victors of the Second World War heavily invested and plotted against the common people, utilizing their venture capitalism, philanthropy, and establishment of technocracy via industrial funds. After the tyrannical United Nations was formed, only a few countries (United States, France, Britain, Russia, China) held real power, and the remaining countries joined the organization as slaves, holding no true power over the main countries.

United Nations and similar global organizations, playing the good guy and abusing the situation, are clearly plotting to dominate all countries through the high table (the 5 countries) and more. Based on the synchronized tyranny around the world, their plots are carried out by all sorts of people from any country and background, but with a high concentration in countries: USA, China, Britain, Israel, and Switzerland, and with a medium concentration in Australia, Canada, Russia, France, and Germany.

We are quick to use labels and fall victim to the Junto's divide-and-conquer and distraction traps. They will own and lead their own opposition towards a safer direction. They will make you focus on ethnic battles, racial battles, spiritual battles, and any other label war, while they themselves see only two categories: The Powerful, and the Manipulated. The start of stopping this is when societies are filled with independent minds.

The Junto's plots and technocratic rule are basically carried out via involved entities such as tech companies, politics, pharmaceuticals, medical/health organizations, global organizations, intelligence agencies, world, Swiss and central banks, The World Economic Forum, The Hollywood, The Internet, TV, academic and scientific institutions, research facilities, weather and geoengineering organizations, and elitist societies like the Rothschilds, Rockefellers, and Freemasons. Common people and their gullibility are also factors.

It is not that the Internet, AI, TV, and technology in general are fundamentally wrong or immoral; It is that after WW2, there are signs of systemic infiltration in almost everything and everywhere, aimed at achieving total control.

THE JUNTO'S GOALS ARE

1. To own and control all resources, including food, water, money, minerals, energy, land, technology, tools, humans, and information.

2. To disallow the common people from being independent in any way. They will slightly degrade our mental and physical autonomy, and then use that state to:

3. Deceive people by any means in order to convince them of their freedom, that governments are serving the populations and are not tyrannically ruling them instead, that technology is advancing with us and not against us, that health organizations cure us and do not keep us ill, and that we are not being poisoned via water, air, and food. To convince us that there is no depopulation and dehumanization in progress.

For the masses, we can have these situations: 1. [Mostly] Independent-minded people who can think and see clearly. 2. [Mostly] dependent and easily manipulated people. 3. A mix of 1 and 2.

As for the governments:

A. A fully honest, genuine government that is trying to get by, serve the people, and not plot against its own society. B. A government that's trying to get by and doesn't actively plot against its own society. C. A government that plots against its own society in order to serve the Junto.

A mix of 1 and A is virtually impossible (at least at this time) because a government without politics, secrecy, and deception will be devoured by the Junto instantly. And independent-minded people are currently in the minority.

In some countries, like Iran (2025) (even though it is filled with Junto agents, slowly transforming it), there is a mix of 3 and B, where the government uses an altered (softened) version of pre-existing structures, such as religion and tradition, to unify society and resist outside forces. In such combinations, governments have decided that the easily manipulated portion of society, if left alone, will be manipulated by outside forces anyway, so why not control them first, for their own good, in order to protect the collective interests of all the people in the country? Since the other half of the society is independent-minded, they will eventually know that it is okay to conserve the old ideological and moral structures, even if they have some limiting aspects, and even if it doesn't suit them, in order to prevent greater, even unnatural limitations entering from outside; the remaining few independent-minded people who don't yet see this, are harmless, as they lack the means and the numbers to affect anything in a major way.

Human society will inherently have natural restrictions and limitations. It's a matter of backends and windows allowing change. A collective of 200 restrictive bodies of governance is less harmful than a single body of governance that has monopolized the entire planet because with the former, we still have options.

If we mix 3 with C instead, the same thing happens, with the exception that the government abuses the dependent half of society so that they recruit and confuse the independent half. Or it pits people against each other, inducing an indeterminate status, ready to be steered towards any direction.

A mix of 2 and B would conclude with a fast overthrow and replacement of the subject government by outside forces. Such forces would manipulate the target society and move it against the local government.

A mix of 2 and C (similar to the USA) hosts a detached parasite that's looking to accomplish its own plans, prioritizing itself over the population. Such a country will be used by other countries, like a weapon, and finally aimed at itself when the parasite finds another host.

So what's the problem, and what are the solutions? One might think that the problem is: Who's going to become honest first? Because if a government disarms itself and starts being fully honest and avoids secrecy, how can it stay safe from outside aggression, infiltration, and similar operations? Therefore, he would conclude that we must create a global government through which we can unite. The issue with that solution is that a centralized power structure, which operates in a detached way from local communities, cannot truly understand people or sympathize with them. They will have absolute control over everything, and since the only threat to the global government (which keeps the new structure alive) would be the population itself, they would treat people as the new "Outside Force", and therefore, doubling down in secrecy, deception, and operations against them. Cultures are incompatible; even relatives can be incompatible with each other and barely get along. So no matter what, negativity will be present; giving up our freedom to a global government born out of technocracy will not change that. Training ourselves to be independent-minded, though, will.

The root of most negativity is corrupted minds, or minds that are under the influence of corrupted governments and their structures. So, the solution I offer is that we learn to see clearly and become powerful minds, so that there would be no need for a forceful government controlling or protecting us from ourselves; rather, the governments would simply assist to further our internal development. As we lose our independence and control, the need for an ever-expanding tyrannical policing structure increases simultaneously, which is why the Junto tries to undermine our authority and worth. The Junto equates freedom with risk and instability.

To be positive, we should become and stay independent (not detached).
When we are mentally independent, we see clearly, so we are independent of ego; therefore, we do not seek outside approval. We do not seek approval, so we don't deceive others. We do not deceive, fight, or hurt other people because we have all we need. We have all we need, so we do not suffer. We know what's enough, and we define our lives because we are not confused, and we see clearly.

But even if we remove human-made dependency, we still depend on nature itself, which produces negativity. For example, you must kill an animal to eat and survive; you must compete with other humans due to limited resources; you must cut trees to stay warm. What's different now is that those with power, the governments and the Junto, break the natural balance and try to stay independent and experience positivity in your expanse, by shifting all the negativity to you. We the people fight each other over resources; we fight their wars for them; we protest their causes for them; we cut all the trees and kill all the animals as part of our jobs; we "just do our jobs." We do their dirty work.

The Junto will try to narrow the issue down and pull their opposition back inside the system, using it to steer the opposition. For example, they will label and shelve their opposition with yet another "Ism", the "Popul"ism, and mark it as a mere political view inside the system. Such narrowing takes away our independence and creates dependency on

structures of the system, such as voting, supporting our idols (candidates), or a specific part of the political spectrum/party.

Even if people were to mobilize in the real world and actually go out there, it would have to happen locally and expand from there, not the other way around. Such mobilization would only serve to awaken a few others via media exposure and coverage, at a heavy cost. Such mobilization would require millions of people, all aware of what they are fighting for, all aware that the cabal is not limited to one country, and all aware that it will be different from any revolution in history.

If such mobilization were to be led by yet another in-system leader and organizer, with in-system mottos and objectives, that would be a clear sign of useful idiocy and a manipulated cause that further serves the cabal, by aiming such mobilization against the cabal's enemies, by aiming people against each other, by damaging properties, by violence, by hatred, by rage, by anger, by reducing human worth. How can we resist the external world if we fail to recognize who we are? We will get defined by those who seek to deceive, and we will live in their distorted reality. We will mistake love and kindness for compliance and passivity, and hate and anger for freedom. But if we recognize ourselves internally and find the original reality, the same reality that is also independently found by many other people, we will have the foundation needed.

There is only existence, and then there is us. In the real world, flags, symbols, and logos do not define people; people define those and also themselves. "Government" is just a word; it is a group of people gathered together. "Nations" is just a word; it is a group of people gathered together. "Junto" is just a word; it is a group of people gathered together. It is all people, and people act the way they do due to their perception of reality and their decision to act on it. If we shed all our labels, if we stop depending on symbols and words, if we don't comply with that which distorts, deceives, and restricts, and if we reveal the undistorted reality to any group of people deceived, or Trying to deceive, we increase in numbers and become a bigger group of people, gaining natural power and say.

Even if the Junto were to disappear, what would we rely on? Would we wait for another centralized, detached group of exclusive people to start herding and manipulating us? Even if some people individually gained positions of management, how would we make sure they don't grow more detached and form another Junto replica in the future? We essentially need a foundation, individually, that we strongly uphold. Greedy, detached, and egotistic people are exactly the kinds that lead to a Junto forming because they prefer to centralize everything on themselves. The nature of individual collective society has to be based on skills, creativity, joy, love (not the passive love), individual authority, and, most importantly, respect for other people's individual authority. This means that if your actions were annoying, harmful, or hindering to someone else, you would be willing to let go and stop. This is how individual rights are preserved: through sympathy and willing, temporary reductions of your own ambitions, not forced reductions of others' ambitions that would create a chain reaction until what's left are the most forceful, thereby forming the Junto.

The willing, individual reduction is your investment in your own future expansion of your individuality because, in a similar situation, you may be the one receiving empathy. This is a useful system, even for those who do not like others, because it is ultimately self-serving.

A higher-level principle, applied to both individual and collective scales would be: Be strong, unforgiving, and prepared against the corrupt systems, structures, and minds that try to create or support cycles of dependency, injustice, and suffering (this includes your own potential corruption, which you have to fight against). Resist and correct them, while simultaneously being kind, helpful, and supportive towards those who are weaker, uncorrupted, and innocent. Unless this cycle replaces the current opposite cycle where the strong and corrupted abuse the weak and just, suffering and injustice will prevail.

This book and the ideas contained herein cannot be hijacked by the system and used for its own in-system purposes. Because the main point is the permanent independence and practicality of people, the normal, non-plotting people all over the planet. If we are practical, if we are independent, and if there are many of us, then we are the active power, not the passive, dormant followers.

We no longer see them as the power; they are petty and nothing compared to the billions of united people. They can no longer hide behind their glamour and fancy titles; we see them for what they are: the immoral few humans in suits, and nothing else. We no longer put hours of our lives into watching sensationalized celebrities and their lives; we start building our own lives. We no longer circulate their fashion, music, and entertainment; we start building our own. We can no longer be told to wait for the mainstream 'Professionals' to prove or disprove something because we don't depend on them to decide our reality and facts; instead, we demand the tools and rights needed to do it ourselves. We can no longer be told to wait for the mainstream Politicians to decide our fate and solve our issues because we know that they themselves are the issues, and we don't depend on them to solve our issues; instead, we depend on natural tools, experience, reality, and morals. We can no longer be told to depend on their deceptive AI systems for learning, because we no longer depend on their definition of learning and thought, and we can see through their malice. We can no longer be angered to fight their in-system wars and kill each other for them; we are no longer useful idiots. We can no longer be distracted or deceived, because we know them, we know their motives, we know about their nonexistent morality, we know their ways, and we know that they see us as a burden. We are no longer glued to their screens, and we know where the real world takes place. We no longer believe in their political theatrics. We no longer depend on their standards of morality and thought; we do not take our morals from criminals and corrupted minds. We collectively reach our standards based on observable reality and the experiences therein. We no longer give up our worth, mind, time, lives, and authority; we have the power to cripple them simply by mass non-conformity. We are no longer divided by our assigned groups and labels. We no longer believe the definition of freedom given to us by them. We no longer believe that we are free, so that we may start to see our chains. We no longer believe that we are stupid, insufficient, or unqualified. We can all learn about reality. We can all write books. We can all be creative. We can all be practical. We can all help each other. We can all do things. FUCK THEIR CERTIFICATES. We no longer depend on them to tell us what our issues are and what our options are, because we always look at the practicalities, starting from ourselves first: what affects us, why it affects us, and who is behind it. **We do not accept solutions from the source of the problem. We are not numbers. We are not viewers. We are not the screen. We are not labels. We are not livestock. We are the many individuals. We are the collective.**

If you can't live as a free infinity, then live as a free planet; if not a free planet, a free continent; if not a free continent, a free country; if not a free country, a free city; if not a free city, a free town; if not a free town, a free village; if not a free village, a free family; if not a free family, a free individual; if not a free individual, a free mind; if not a free mind, it is time to free the mind. **We raise for no cause. We raise to cause.**

CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY AND FREEDOM

The Junto and its tentacles are involved in massive spying, depopulation, decognition, and manipulation operations against humanity, regardless of country.

Psycho Water

Additives like fluoride and chlorine in the water (and sometimes in food!) can have cognitive side effects, such as retardation! (They call it cognitive side effects, or overdose, so that you don't feel the shock.) Fluoridation of water is meaningless and dangerous. They add this

[literal] cockroach powder to the water (or avoid removing it), and its major [side!?] effects happen to be neurological, the same field of study in which big corporations, intelligence agencies, and the Junto, in general, are heavily interested. We are given the laughable excuse of: "Strong Teeth" for this audacity and irresponsibility! So, why don't we add many more additives to the water supply to magically prevent many more diseases? Why fixate on tooth decay? And are we assuming that when countries ban fluoridation, they are doing so out of spite for people's teeth? So what if things naturally occur anywhere? Don't diseases and many other contaminants naturally occur in the water? Why let it occur and even add more on top of it? What's the water treatment plant for? To give us all the cancerous HAA5? And most importantly, why give our authority over OUR water to some unknown organization and their standards? People need to locally manage their own water.

Geoengineering

For decades, they have been using passenger and military airplanes to spray us with chemicals that could cause dementia, cognitive decline (mental retardation), cancer, vision diseases, respiratory diseases, Alzheimer's, and hormonal imbalances. Furthermore, such chemicals damage trees, animal species, and the natural weather. These block the majority of the Sun's light from reaching the Earth, and can also cause tornadoes, droughts, and floods, all of which can be (and are) used as weapons against populations. This claim is dismissed by the mainstream and replaced with a lie called "Contrails" AKA condensation trails resulting from water vapor! They do so despite the countless evidence against that narrative, such as actual footage of plane trails turning off and on, rainbow/chemical colors of the trails, misplaced trails obviously not originating from the engines, and test results finding nano-aluminum and many other harmful materials.

The non-mainstream, the controlled opposition of the mainstream, tries to mask the term "Geoengineering" behind a psychologically loaded and ridiculed label "Chemtrails", which, due to its association with the "Conspiracy Nuts" as they call people, is immediately abstracted, dismissed, and shelved, despite the fact that there are court orders acknowledging and banning the practice of geoengineering.

They just love confusing people with different labels (that they can control) for the same things; for example, saying it is just "Solar Geoengineering", not "Geoengineering", and "Stratospheric Aerosol injection", not "Spraying Poison"! Wait what? How is that any different? Oh, right, their label is a THEORY and takes place in the future, and ours is the practical observation happening for decades, and somehow, they are different?

Genetically Modified Food, Synthetic Food, and Seed Monopolies

They completely remove animal oil and replace it with vegetable oil, replace meat with lab meat, dairy with bug protein, and modify crops and animals genetically, which is cancerous and toxic and removes the good taste from it, while trying to eliminate natural seeds outside their own vaults! This way, they can indirectly force people to gorge on fake, toxic rubbish and DEPEND on them for organics. Ideologies such as economization, calorie obsession, essential oil obsession, charity, climate change (which they themselves have created), and vegan/vegetarianism are great aids in driving this agenda forward while disguising it as a grassroots movement.

International Human Abduction and Pedophilia Rings

Their psychopathic monopoly on the [not so] secret elitist drug, the adrenaline-laced blood (Adrenochrome), sexual perversion, and blackmail motives, keeps such international rings operating. One of the latest revelations of such a ring was the case of Jeffrey Epstein's prison island that operated internationally and had visitors from your beloved "Celebrities", "Charitable Entrupurnors" and "world leaders". His case was tied to in-system terminologies and debates, reduced to the degree of an ordinary local crime, and completely moved aside

and shelved. They pretended to be working hard to identify people and solve the case blah blah, while expecting us to give authority to them to bring in justice for themselves! People started DEPENDING on them to find and release a list of customers. Why aren't we asking real questions, such as, "Why don't we have the power to investigate and see the documents ourselves, as the people, even after the majority of the governments around the world are proven to be criminals?"

Ethnic Cleansing, Puppet Installment via engineered coups, Nation-wide manipulation

The Junto is big on killing people. They enjoy it. That's really it; there isn't much to it.

Moving on, the Junto has been busy trying to prevent the independence of people on a national scale! They will never allow the countries in which they are not yet entrenched to reach independence and be self-reliant. With the first sign, they will manipulate or recruit the said nation's population to rise against or spy on their governments. If that doesn't work, they will use sanctions and isolation, then create proxies to act militarily, and finally, if they have to, they will move in directly and assassinate the leaders of such countries and install a puppet.

The possession of rich pre-historic knowledge by countries, and lack of Junto-backed international checkpoints worries them. Hence, the creation of ISIS was to destroy historic sites and documents and serve as an excuse for a global tyranny and restrictions. Gaddafi's efforts to create a national currency and free himself from the dollar got him killed. Iran's lack of interest in murder, war, and technocracy got them sanctioned, bombed, smeared, and isolated. Venezuela's resources got them worried about power imbalances. North Korea's digital isolation and avoidance of big tech infrastructure got them isolated and mocked. Africa's rich, unmaterialistic culture made them a good target for testing viruses and vaccines within their territories; the Junto recruits criminals to invade villages that do not conform and cause terror. The United States' freedom-loving people worried them, which obligated the Junto to heavily infiltrate and control their government and mallify the country.

Global Tyranny, Centralized Artificial Police (CAP), International Spying Programs, Centralized Economy, Centralized Knowledge, Centralized Food and Water, Centralized Technology.

People assume that the data collection business is actually there to make money off people. No, their goal was and still is to gather massive amounts of international data in order to train their predictive CAP, which will be used as a centralized, obedient police, serving the Global Government, replacing the unstable human cops that may have a shred of independence left in them. (Eventually, the CAP will be directly embedded into the human body with many different excuses and deceptions). They did the same with artists and people's posts on the internet; they first let people pour out whatever they had, then they snatched it, trained their AI with it, and gradually locked people out of the internet. Billionaires do not need any more money! They print money; once you have so much, you will be worried about losing it all, hence the obsession with total control. The exposé of the Five Eyes countries by Edward Snowden proves the existence of nosy organizations; this is evidence that yes, many, many people can and do work together and plot against others, regardless of any internal disputes. Banks (Swiss, World, Central), pharmaceuticals, technology corporations, charity foundations, global health organizations, the United Nations, think tanks, historically rich families (Rockefellers, Rothschilds), cults (Freemasonry, Satanism), military-industrial complexes, governments, media, universities, regulators, and lobbyists are all completely detached from the essence of family and common people, and all have connections to each other, influencing, controlling, and restricting aspects of people's freedom.

The ultimate goal is the eradication of individual freedoms and rights, and the centralization of power in the hands of the wealthy and uncommon, leading to the creation of a long-lasting, crippling dependency on them. This is evident by looking at the integration of AI and other spyware into virtually everything, the eradication of cash and its replacement with digital currency, a subscription-based economy in which you don't really own anything, relentless inflation, shrinking salaries and job markets, and the totalitarian restrictions that are implemented during sensationalized events such as Covert19.

False flags, biological warfare, probductions, scapegoating.

X did it, Y did it, Z did it, but the government and the billionaires would never do it! The Junto uses nested false flags to carry out genocide and wage war. Take, for example, the 9/11 event, where it was used as an excuse to demonize Arabs and Muslims (label wars), and now it is being used to demonize Jews and the entirety of Israel. If not, they use it to blame the in-system labels such as "The Leff", ignoring the fact that the Junto operates beyond labels. It does have a heavy control on, from, and within Israel, Switzerland, United States and Germany, but it is not limited to those countries. This is their narrow solution to the problem, and once the Israeli prime minister is gone, they will conclude that the Junto is no more, which will be false. Or take Covert19 for example, where the engineered situation was an excuse to enforce more restrictions on the public, force people into house arrest, and use technology, and perform tests on them via vaccination. They use this tactic on smaller cultural scales, such as pitting races against each other, pitting the police against people (so that they can be replaced by centralized AI, as people have seen how brutal they are), pitting straights against LGBT, and pitting people against migrants; anything that keeps people distracted and divided. Everyone takes turns blaming each other, and anything goes as long as it doesn't involve governments, politicians, billionaires, and bankers.

While being legal itself is illegal, criminals rule, and resistance against crime becomes forbidden.

INTERNATIONAL DESTRUCTION OF INDEPENDENCE

The United States government and its many allied governments in many countries, have bullied independently formed clusters of societies all around the world. Tried to install puppets, extract resources, inject technocracy, brainwash them, destroy their cultures, and take over the law.
Only some of the instances of the US government trying to play God (1946-2026):

Tibet 1955-1970s: Covert support, CIA training, supplies to insurgents
Greece 1947-1949: Military aid, advisors, election funding
Greece 1967: Support for the military junta
Turkey 1947: Military aid under the Truman Doctrine
Turkey 1980: Political meddling, backing security forces
Italy 1948: CIA-funded election campaigns
Philippines 1946-1949: Counterinsurgency support against Hukbalahap
Iran 1953: CIA-led coup overthrowing Mossadegh
Iran 1987-1988: Military support and strikes during the Iran-Iraq War.
Guatemala 1954: CIA-backed coup ousting Arbenz
Vietnam 1955-1975: Military advisors, full-scale war
Laos 1964-1973: Bombing, CIA operations against the Pathet Lao.
Cambodia 1969-1973: Bombing and incursions against North Vietnamese sanctuaries
Lebanon 1958: Marine landing to support a pro-Western government.
Lebanon 1982-1984: Military presence, strikes after barracks bombing
Cuba 1961: Invasion attempt
Cuba 2010s-present: Cyberattacks, covert operations against the government.
Dominican Republic 1965: Military intervention
Brazil 1964: Support for the military coup that removed Goulart
Brazil/Bolivia 2019: Political/diplomatic bullying
Chile 1970-1973: Covert support for a coup against Allende
Uruguay 1970s: Training and support for security forces
Argentina 1976-1983: Support for military junta
El Salvador 1980s: Military support and influence during the civil war.
Nicaragua 1981-1990: Covert and overt support for Contras
Grenada Nov 1983: Invasion to remove government.
Panama Dec 1989-1990: Invasion to capture Noriega
Iraq 1991: Gulf War air and ground campaign
Iraq 2003-2011: Invasion to kill Saddam Hussein.
Iraq 2014-mid-2020s: Airstrikes, base building
Iraq 2021-2026: Military presence, Special Forces deployment
Somalia 1992-1994: Military intervention during civil war
Somalia 2007-present: Special Operations, drone strikes against al-Shabaab
Niger 2017: Special operations, drone strikes against resistance
Haiti 1994: Military intervention
Bosnia mid-1990s: NATO airstrikes against Serb forces.
Kosovo 1999: NATO air campaign against Yugoslavia
Syria 1949: Bombing, sabotage, political meddling
Syria 2014-present: Airstrikes, special operations, support for insurgents.
Pakistan 2004-present: Drone attacks against militants
Yemen 2002-present: Drone and air attacks
Yemen May 2025: Air and naval attacks
Libya 1986 & 1989: Airstrikes on people.
Libya 2011: NATO airstrike campaign to overthrow Gaddafi

Congo 1960-1965: Covert operations and political meddling
Republic of Congo 1964: Covert operations
British Guiana 1964: Covert operations
The Guyana-Venezuela 2019-2020: Military/diplomatic involvement. Borders.
Peru 1965: Covert operations, political meddling
Indonesia 1958 & 1965: Covert CIA operations, arms support in insurgencies.
Angola 1976-1992: Covert support for factions
Poland 1980-1981: Covert operations, political meddling.
Sudan 1998: Cruise missile strikes
Sudan 2000s-present: Covert operations, drone strikes on resistance leaders.
Honduras 2009: Support and influence in coup.
Colombia 2003: Special Forces deployed for counter-resistance
Russia, 2008: Military support and covert assistance to Georgia during the Russia-Georgia war.
Central African Republic 2013-2020: Covert operations, special operations against resistance
Honduras 2009: Support and influence in the coup, political meddling
Ukraine 2014-present: Support for the Ukrainian government. Military aid, training, and covert operations in Russian-occupied territories.
Afghanistan 2001-2021: War, military presence, government change, airstrikes
Afghanistan, 2021-present: Strikes against factions, special operations, spying.
Saudi Arabia 2019-present: Military presence after oil infrastructure attacks
China 2020-2026: Cyber operations, military presence in the South China Sea; support for Taiwan; spying.
Venezuela 2019-2021: Diplomatic pressure, covert operations, support for opposition forces
Venezuela January 3, 2026: Airstrikes, capture, and abduction of President Maduro and 'his wife' (Yet no one talks about where his wife is kept and why are they keeping her; Human rights disappear at will. Everyone focuses on the oil discussions and are trained to think like politicians, dehumanizing everyone.) to US territory.

The US government and its allies always target countries (and not just their governments) that have, or are about to have, independent cultures, economies, militaries, and political and legal systems, as well as access to resources.

The US government along with its allies, especially French Government, Israeli Government, German Government, Australian Government and British Monarchy, is literally trying to rule the world, meddling in other societies via bullying, deception, and murder. and they openly confess. The evidence is, yet again, right in front of us.

United Nations abides by the Junto, and Junto influences the countries mentioned earlier plus dozens more, in order to destroy every trace of independent economy, healthcare, government, military, education, and society on the planet; they don't even let tribes and non-modern societies live in peace; the first thing they provide for them are phones, vaccines, and the so-called education, so that society is spied on, becomes biologically dependent, and is brainwashed. They mark all of their incursions and meddling with fancy sensationalized labels such as "Peacekeeping", "Fighting Terrorism", "Charity", "Education", "Efforts", "Liberation", etc.

TERRORISM is bombing a society while they are asleep and taking the president and his WIFE back to your own country in handcuffs, parading him around like a captured game, and claiming the country and its resources. TERRORISM is monopolization of water, economy, and law, globally, in order to cut off resources to those who disobey. They deceive the target population by demonizing their current structures and redefining the meaning of freedom, success, and prosperity, and ultimately, playing the role of a savior. They infiltrate the target population via technocracy injection, blackmailing those at the top in the country,

threats, international bullying, and so on. They do, and will do, everything they want regardless of the UN circus or their own comedic law structures because they know what independence means; they abide by the rule of the jungle and survival of the fittest, but they are not of the people.

Some countries are forms of resistance, using old, restrictive, or dogmatic structures to unify the masses against the global bullying system and save a traditional foundation to avoid confusion; the global bully coalition abuses the situation and puts more pressure on it; people in such countries then start to think that their own societal structure is evil, and the outside force is a liberator; that is how coups abuse people. All of this happens because people accept the standards and definitions of morality, success, freedom, good, bad, life, etc., from the Junto, whose only goal is capturing resources (they are paranoid about survival), total enslavement of populations, centralizing power in a global government, and depopulation at will. Everyone can identify the enemy themselves by, again, not looking at the media or what the fancy ties are telling them, but instead, by looking at what affects you. WHO poisons you? Who tries to control your entire life and shrink all your rights and freedoms each day? Who loves technocratic monopolies? Who constantly kills, rapes, poisons, restricts and bombs others? WHO releases viruses? Who keeps repeating the words "Global", "Smart", and "International" in a supportive manner?

A quote from **Mayer Rothschild**, 1790: ***"Permit me to issue and control the money of a nation, and I care not who makes the laws."***

JUDICIAL AND LEGAL MANIPULATION

The Junto would naturally try to protect itself; legal protection being one of them. If people are afraid to talk about their observations, call out the billionaire's unfair advantages and corruptions, place an online comment, or refuse to enlist in legal murder campaigns (wars), then aren't people enslaved? Didn't traditional slavery have more freedoms and benefits? If the legal system is controlled by criminals, and criminals allow you to discuss anything except something against them, then doesn't the word "Lawful" mean "obedient to the master"? What does the current law protect? If the legal system was protecting us, how come the same people, virtually or literally in charge of legal systems, were raping common people's kids internationally in hundreds in the Virgin Islands (and other places) for decades, and running blackmailing operations off it? How come they are not even prosecuted or resigned out of shame? Would a common person caught in such a shame get away with it that easily? And talk at the media the other day as if nothing happened?

How come, when someone commits a crime, they can pay the court to be freed? Can we buy justice and law with money? Isn't this a clear advantage for billionaires? They can keep committing [real] crimes, pay the government's cut, and get away with it. Wouldn't the government actually wish for more crimes to happen in order to earn more money? Justice is beaten, trampled, and lost between the businessmen pretending to be judges, making money at our expense.

How come judges sit in elevated chairs and look down on us, demanding that we call them their "You honor", and stand when they walk in? Are we worshipping them? Or are they considered our equals who are simply serving the justice system?

How come we need to be rich and pay the legal system to sue and enforce the very same law THEY have imposed on society, and therefore us? How come you need to be even richer to legally (and successfully) complain about bigger abusers such as billionaires? Why is there money involved in the enforcement of laws? Is it not the law that keeps society together, if that is what law is? How come there are risks if one were to pursue their rights both legally and illegally? Are we gambling, or practicing justice? Why is passive obedience the only solution?

Why are billionaires and their corporations allowed to lobby and sign contracts with the government in order to gain an advantage over public opinion and say? How come they are able to regulate their own regulations?

How come the billionaires block competition via their extensive, complicated, and nefarious abuse of legal disclaimers and clauses, which have a legal basis due to their influence on the legal system?

WEAPONIZED DIVISION

The Junto distorts people's needs and problems, via in-systemization. I will give only one example below, but the conclusions also apply to many other themes, like Black people versus White people, etc.:

LGBTQIA+ (Now with even more letters!)

Let's analyze it from scratch: What is L? A human. What is G? A human. What is B? A human. What is T? A human. What is Q? A human. What is I? a human. What is A? A human. What is "Straight"? A human. What is a Christian? A human. What is a politician? A human!? Now that we've de-labeled everything, let's get to it:

Did a lesbian anywhere in the world wake up one morning and say, "Yup, I've decided I'm an LGBTQ"? Or did she say, "Yup, I'm a lesbian"? Now, if she didn't do it, then who did? Who was it that decided, from now on, you're all called LGBTQ+ and will wear a flag and a T-shirt that has your labels printed on it?

Let's look at what these separate people, before they were unknowingly recruited into a political group with unrelated agendas, really wanted or how they defined themselves: these people wanted to break free from social norms, ridicule, and violence, right? One such complaint was: "I don't want society to assign roles to me or tell me who I am." Now, isn't that great? Then how come the politicians get to tag you with the most comprehensive and compressed label in human history: "LGBTQIA+" where the + indicates an open end! This is a mathematically infinite label. You ran away from one term (Woman/Man) and fell into an infinite one. Now your social role is to fight other common people all day and wear specialized group uniforms, in order to make a point, not to express yourself.

And let's talk about the sessions where a professional teaches you how to talk! How come people don't realize the absurdity in this? Why do most of the people marked as LGBTQ sound the same and act the same? Is it really you coming out of the closet if you need a professional stranger to define your voice and behavior for you, or are you simply changing your closet?

Why do the LGBTQ require special rights? Aren't they equal parts of the society? Aren't they people? Why don't we say "Human rights" and instead say "LGBTQ rights"? The most important right any human has is being able to walk decently around without getting assaulted or ridiculed; that is regardless of what you're tagged with. And I'm sure that was the original problem for people, but the Junto, abusing the situation, bundled those separate individuals into a group where they had to come out and engage in group activities and separate themselves from society.

The Junto has planted some posers in women's sports and bathrooms who claimed to be LGBTQ, therefore attracting hatred and outrage towards that term and anyone associated with it. So, in a way, people who once wanted to be seen as normal citizens and be free without bother are now entirely separated from society, shunned in movies, and required to actively fight political fights for their rights, which ultimately serve politicians' agendas instead of themselves.

Never join groups where you have no power. Groups are inherently divisive, and once the individuals lose their collective control of the group and are led by a centralized leader, they can and will be misrepresented and damaged.

So, better be careful if you're asking them for your rights, or simply taking and practicing your rights as an individual. Because if you ask them, they will take something from you in return, and may not even give you what you asked for.

If people really want to be free, and avoid ridicule or violence, they need to go way back, back to the family where individuals are raised. If the family doesn't embed the required morals and standards in the person, and if the school creates a welcoming environment for a dog-eat-dog mentality, then there is no way you can stop that person from becoming a detached bully in his adult life. If we see the entire humanity (minus the Junto) as individuals trying to get by, and start acting as a collective, then the governments won't be able to define our standards and enemies for us anymore.

If people want to be free of the division cycle, they need to understand that avoiding division isn't about morals or kindness; our entire freedom depends on it. Furthermore, they need to understand how the Junto manipulates them. Let's assume there is someone who hates LGBTQ, for any reason. One of the LGBTQ members passes by him on the street, and normally he wouldn't know about the membership, but the member makes it obvious by wearing a big label on her clothing, which acts as a reverse psychology. When you demonstrate personal things out in public while no one is actively resisting you, people tend to see that as a challenge, a threat to THEIR freedoms, or a domination attempt, so they attack you even if they wouldn't normally. Now, if the attacker stopped for a moment to think, "Why am I hating a random person I've never met before? Who made me hate a label? it would never happen, because he would realize that he's serving the Junto without knowing it. Similarly, the LGBTQ girl has given up her independence and individuality by wearing flags, symbols, logos, and titles; if she didn't do all that and truly became herself, she wouldn't be attacked. The attacker is attacking the label, not the individual. The label is demonized in their mind through the screen.

The same goes for virtually any other This vs That groups out there, including Black people. Black people are told to hate White people by the White people! "But nobody told me to hate nobody", you may respond. Well, you wouldn't notice it, since it is subliminal. Take the BLM and Black History Month! Do you think it is about empowering you or appreciating your history? Or is it there as a constant reminder of: "Look what the whites did to your ancestors"? Or is it there to convey the idea that there are some people still not appreciating your history, and you must keep fighting? Aren't you tired of having your race used in movies, politics, and the media to drive various flavors of billionaires' agendas? Would someone who really likes you tell you to move on and integrate into society and be practical? Or would they constantly remind you, "You know what that guy's great-grandfather did to your great-grandfather, right?" They abused your race once, and now they are trying to benefit from that abuse and drive their own agendas at your expense. If you really want to become part of society and stop living in separation, all exploitation and compartmentalization of your race in the media, movies, and politics has to stop; good or bad, both serve against you. The diversity movements are against you because they keep reminding or creating the implication that "there is, indeed, a division, and we acknowledge it and would like to keep it this way. We see colors and include them, but not merge them." The slurs used by Black people themselves in their own music are yet another constant reminder of feuds and division, keeping the cycle alive subliminally. As for Whites, Hollywood, BLM, and many other divisive movements intentionally try to anger and cause outrage.

Once you see a group, you know that you are not part of that group. Once you see the same group in high places, you suspect domination, hence you try to hate them or compete with them. You're like the LGBTQ attacker in my previous scenario, but in a different theme created by the Junto. The only way to stop the cycle now that it's going, is to see each

other as individuals, not as labels. You don't have to love each other, you can even hate each other, but you can hate, love, or be neutral towards any human regardless; the only reason to do so using labels is so that your hatred is channeled towards somebody's, usually a politician's, agenda.

On the other hand, it would be unwise to completely ignore the cultural differences that are sure to be present among the many peoples of the Earth. The Junto loves dualistic and narrow minds and the either-or fallacy; the toxic positivity and Zen-style corporate kindness serve that purpose. The Junto wants to reach global blindness and sanitization (destruction) of originality via the initial weaponization of differences. But if we learn to be individuals and act as a collective, we no longer have to fight each other, nor do we need to get along; we simply live at the moment, case by case.

Human society is natural, as are the differences in culture, race, and the position of tribes. Governments can and have weaponized this natural division into strategic division. Normally, people would solve their problems head-on, make peace, or go their separate ways, but with governments, the simplest of differences and problems are intentionally kept going and even enhanced. This serves the governments by preventing the unification of people against them. The problem is that the unification of people, as in all the people of Earth, will also help an agenda that tries to create a one-world government. Avoiding pre-declared mantras is important, as always.

The solution is to temporarily put aside differences and demand our rights from corporations, cultists, billionaires, and politicians who hoard so much money and riches that you couldn't earn them during many lifetimes as a normal citizen. I say temporarily, because different cultures and countries prevent ultimate takeover of the Earth. If such a natural blockade diminishes, the one and only true division: Powerful (Governments, Corporations, The Rich) vs Weak (People) will be played out broadly and severely. Because different cultures may annoy you a little, but there are organizations that directly decide your fate and future, and they do so without your consent.

Enhancing Division by False Flags and Staging

First, they either intentionally create a problem or simply record one. For example, they share a news article saying, "Black man did X", expecting white people to get angry. Then, they share an article saying, "White cop did X", expecting black people to get angry. From there, the cycle feeds itself, and you'll see people arguing on the internet and in real life, busy with cultural wars while the governments steal your life and perception, keeping you poor and dependent on them to fix your life and the problems they themselves have created.
Even if the government starts pretending to dislike racism, some people will continue it as a form of free speech and protest because they dislike and distrust the government.
When it comes to religion, they bring in "experts" to create new branches of a religion, and then create branches of those new branches, and so on. What you end up with is a highly divided society where people fight to the death over an interpretation of a word or a historic account. All the while, the government keeps the originals for themselves.

Almost all religions share the same base principles: "Be good, have compassion, don't lie, don't steal, be strong against the corrupted and evil" and that "there is one God". Everything else is detail.

Take LGBT, for example. It already contains four new branches of society, but they create a few more; for example, the "Homophobic" branch is used to tag someone using common sense to argue with them. In this case, people who label themselves as LGBT (ironically while they claim they don't like traditional social labels and stereotypes), and people who use their common sense to criticize LGBT are both deceived because they are playing inside one of the division sandboxes created by the government. The solution? Don't

ask your government to fix your sports or bathrooms! They are the ones who caused the problem in the first place. Instead, form communities and educate people labeled as LGBT, so they know they are not mere labels, and the government is doing the very same thing to them which they oppose: deciding for you what and who you are and how you're supposed to talk, walk, and react. People who attack the LGBT, trying to spread common sense about genders, should know that the government knew and actually planted the contradiction in the movement so that you would discover it and, by doing so, get angry and attack normal citizens instead of the government.

Your free speech isn't in helping the government further carry out its plans. It is in your pragmatic lifestyle, in asking the real questions about who really dictates the fate of your country.

Why don't you vote on where the next invasion will be? Why are you taxed forcibly? Why are you forcefully drafted to kill people outside your own country? Why don't you have a voice in the media and are considered inferior or unqualified, even though you're part of the country and the government is meant to serve and organize people, not rule them?

Why is it that only rich and conforming people have voices? Why does that single event reported by the media change your perception of a different culture, just when the government wants you to change your perception? And how does that matter while you struggle to pay rent, when there are people who have an economic worth of billions of dollars as a result of monopolies, the eradication of competition, tax evasion, lobbying, crimes, blackmailing, bad practices, worker exploitation, etc.?

Weaponized Unification

Governments, either directly or through media and education, define fear, injustice, and outrage. They then cause fear, injustice, and outrage, blaming it on whatever they want to blame it on, such as: another race, another country, aliens, alien invasions, demons, etc. Your only role then is to obey, become docile, and start depending on something.

ADVANCE AND CHANGE

The Junto is a professional harasser; they will not allow people to live in actual freedom and peace, which is different from the peace they usually talk about. They will constantly force bad change, disguised as necessary or good change. They will force chaos, stress, anything that disrupts people's lives and makes them {change} and {advance}. Why does a human do all he does? Isn't it to provide himself and his family with food, shelter, entertainment, and a stable life? What is the obsessive, ominous insistence on change and advancement? What are you trying to advance towards? When will you snap out of it and realize that you've lived your entire life in constant advancement and change, for the sake of it? When exactly are you allowed to stop advancing and actually live? Is it when you're too old to move or stand up (which is when they let you retire)? Or is it when all of your kids have left? Why do you allow THEM to decide for you when exactly you should and shouldn't be content? What is enough and what isn't?

During the Junto's tyrannical advancement towards their dystopian cliff, they keep removing the old and independent alternatives, including older books, older cultures, older devices, older internet infrastructure, and older lifestyles, and make them impossible to sustain, forcefully! They force change upon people who refuse to change in areas where they are content. They demonize the word "old" and glorify words like "New", "Modern", "Smart", "Advancement", even if the "Old" is completely enough and even more efficient for some. THEY DO NOT CARE ABOUT PEOPLE, and we should start to face that fact. You're a mere slave to them, and you have the illusion that your voice is heard by them, but it's actually their voice heard in your skull since you're experiencing Stockholm Syndrome toward the system.

What's the purpose of companies when they forcefully render older operating systems useless, destroy certificates, and block drivers, etc., under the excuse of security? Or when they render old cars useless, replacing them with spy chambers and internet-dependent cars, under the excuse of global warming and advancement? Or when they render old internet structures useless and force 6G and Starlink upon people under the excuse of advancement? And when they destroy physical books and replace them with AI libraries under the excuse of speed? What's their purpose when they buy out all the game companies and create games that require hundreds of gigabytes of RAM and storage, lacking even the smallest optimization? What's their purpose when they globally drop the development of older devices, structures, and hardware, and directly or indirectly force independent developers to follow?

If they were to let you keep a working, sufficient system of any kind for yourself indefinitely (including a sufficiently working mind, not just physical devices), they would risk you developing independence, peace, and stability in your life, both mentally and economically. Unless you're kept in a constant state of change, sickness, dependence, need, and chaos, you will not work and contribute to their economic and social systems.

Every day, by harassing you and forcing constant, illogical changes upon you, they remove a piece of your independence and freedom, while raising your costs and lowering your options. If you're someone who is content with old desktop computers, monitors, internet protocols, and simple lifestyles, they will do their absolute best to change your situation and get you on board with their mindless advancements toward the cliff. To them, you don't exist, and everything is about smartphone users. The entire society is made to depend on smartphones and the centralized, non-HTTPS websites of the government, where you have to identify yourself to intelligence agency proxies in order to do anything meaningful in society. So, it's not an option; once the development of other things stops (and it will stop), due to economic and regulatory pressure, the newer, more spyware-suitable, restrictive, and impractical choices will be the only remaining options, no matter what you want or don't want.

They glorify these changes as if the human race is advancing collectively, as if these changes are natural proceedings and the will of God, completely ignoring the humans, flesh and blood with agendas, behind every single change in human society. Change, by itself, should not be glorified; advancement, by itself, should not be glorified; every word coming out of someone labeled as a philanthropist should not be glorified. We shall use our minds in order to see that what we are racing for, the life, is right in front of us each day, yet we race and advance away from it every day so that we may reach it again tomorrow! Because every day, the Junto tries to take away our life and portray the bait dangling in front of the treadmill as real life; the reason you cannot reach the bait is because the entire setup is rigged and flawed. Every day you're shown a new bait, a new definition of peace, success, advancement, and life, so that you may keep running on the treadmill; but it's time to stop it and actually walk in real life.

EXPAND AND SHRINK. DEHUMANIZATION AND DESTRUCTION OF VALUES

As the Junto is at war with common people, they use a scorched-earth approach, but in this case, what's scorched are values. They infiltrate, influence, or outright buy out their opposition and anything of value. They scan society in real time for anything resembling a shred of authenticity and humanity, in order to move in and dehumanize.

If food happens to taste good, then they will genetically modify it, add cancerous chemicals to it, and raise its cost while shrinking the packaging. We used to wear thick, big, and nice-looking kingly clothing, but they have reduced that to simple, undersized t-shirts paired with undersized pants and corporate logos on them. Today, fashion is torn jeans and half-eaten clothing, normalizing peasant-hood and the 'less'. If we like trees, fresh air, and clear skies, then they make sure to poison it all with their toxic geoengineering programs, spraying anti-nature, anti-human chemicals from planes, and manipulating weather. If we like good entertainment, then they make sure to corrupt it with political and ideological messaging and symbolism. If we like freedom, then they make sure to take it away using manufactured justifications. If we like owning something (anything), then they will make sure to make it impossible by manufacturing synthetic inflation, buying and restricting all the land before you could, or outright banning your right to ownership. If we like simple, useful, adequate technology, then they will make sure to embed spyware (AKA surveillance), bloatware, and obsolescence timers in them. You can no longer repair your own devices due to specialized NDA-proof tools and technologies used in creating them. If we desire economic independence, then they will ensure to keep our economic power as weak as possible. They will gate-keep the majority of scientific knowledge, research, information, tools, and wealth, so that we have to go through their mazes just to be able to use it, and not own it. We will learn science starting from Victorian-era jargon, and we will stop there, while they keep the refined, immediate knowledge to themselves behind NDAs and classified status.

Talk against them and resist them, and they will try to assassinate (kill) us, smear us, threaten us, intimidate us, stalk us, harass us, ridicule us, blackmail us, buy us, discredit us, label us, categorize and shelve us as a mere theory, twist our words in their favor, and deceive us. But as long as we understand and keep in mind that there are "us" in the billions and then there is "them" in the hundreds, they can't hide from us. Their schemes will be revealed and exposed instantly. This is the only true binary and categorization: common people and the uncommon people.

Replacement of Physical Libraries and Alteration of Digital Books (Modern Book Burning)

They attack physical libraries with excuses such as protecting trees or climate change, and install their gatekeeper (AI) instead, so that only they can own knowledge and keep the masses dependent.

Physical books are being scanned with modern optical character recognition and hosted digitally with alterations. The previously scanned books are also altered via revisions or censorship. There is a bias towards which books are hosted, creating a spotlight effect. Internet Archive is completely compromised by AI alterations, selective hosting, blind spots, and takedowns.

Technology as a Trojan Horse

Technology, which is simply any advanced tool that the powerful wield, is not advancing with us. It is advancing against us. 'Their' technology is protected by NDAs, propriety data, and classified status. It is not ours.

Survival of the fittest still applies. We are still in the jungle; it is just that the dominators have cut down the trees to build their thrones. The trees are not there, but we

are standing on the same planet and the same biology. The only difference is that they launch rockets and spaceships, and we light up cigarettes to feel something. Their dream is colonizing other planets, while ours is owning a house.

The illusion of advancement towards a smart future designed to serve you and your needs is what keeps the technocracy powerful. People should snap out of it, take back their independence, and refuse to be steered by billionaires' tools. No one is asking for advancements and constant changes, and no one knows who exactly comes up with the rapid-fire stream of new inventions, but one would assume the answer to either question doesn't include the word "People".

Out of billions of people on Earth, why do we have the same few dozen tech companies dominating the entire technological abilities of the human race? Who allowed this monopoly to take place? Why don't we have thousands more operating systems, search engines, and hardware manufacturers?

Decrease in Quality

Old hardware was more useful, less restrictive, rarely broke, rarely malfunctioned, and everyone was happy with it. No one asked for constant updates and changes. Modern devices try to fix things that need no fixing. Old doesn't mean wrong, old doesn't mean less, old doesn't mean worthless, old doesn't mean unsafe, but the technocrats keep gaslighting us, pretending that there are people who actually keep asking for constant, NEW updates and changes, each time decreasing the quality of the devices and implementing more spyware and ads, regardless of what the device is.

Phones

Phones have turned into literal spyware, tracking your location, biology, activity, habits, and views. Phones are no longer for calling someone and moving on with your life; you have to engage with the phone and get locked in the theory.

You do not own your phone; you own a copy of some technology designed to own you. If you don't hold the handle of the tool and you can't see the handle, assume that you're the subject.

The problem is not the phones in general; rather, it is the audacity of their designers to force-feed you their interests. Since when have we allowed our tools to decide for us? Why does your phone have bundled apps? Why does it decide for you that you like AI and social media?

Did you ask for gold components and five cameras in your phone? Who did? They keep increasing the economic value of the phone and stopped producing traditional devices. In the meantime, they are making the entire economy depend on phones. This means you will have to work even harder to pay for the phone and other expenses. Is your salary increasing at the same speed?

Modern Cars

The climate change excuse once again comes into play here when they ban the production and use of traditional cars of any kind and force the adoption of AI-controlled modern cars. You can't simply get in your car and go for a ride. You will have to look at some screens, talk to AI, read the news, and get spied on.

Cars no longer have physical control mechanisms and require you to look at a screen while driving (It is okay to be distracted now that it serves the technocracy).
Modern cars eliminate your privacy, make you dependent on host servers, track your location, and can randomly shut down, as has happened before.

Modern cars can be remotely hacked, which allows: 1. Kidnapping via automated transportation. 2. Detainment via locked doors. 3. Assassination via suffocation. 4. Remote

controlling your car to run over other people. (This will come in handy if they want to frame someone.) 5. Massive, international, and intentional blackouts, allowing for intentional chaos (very useful if they want a media distraction).

Food

Food is of great value to humans. Then we are introduced to Vegetarianism and Veganism and whatnot first, to get us on-board and establish the idea that it's all a grassroots movement. While in reality it is part of the plot to take away all the natural, delicious, organic food, starting with meat, and replace it with lab-made, genetically modified, cancerous alternatives that create hormonal imbalances, cognitive declines, and all kinds of diseases, while depriving us of taste. Bug protein is the next step.

Internet

The internet was once decentralized, and people openly searched millions of websites. What we are seeing now is not the same internet. The Internet's shrinking started in 2014 and has never ended.

We used to enter a website and start doing what we were there to do, but now we have to 'get started' like a car, fill out a form asking for our phone number, solve a captcha that keeps giving errors, be subject to scripts and cookies that track and spy on us, accept cookies, agree to terms and conditions, and prove we're over the age of 18, only to find out that we are looking at a barren website with AI content and useless, broken tools that require a payment of $99 per month to function.

The "Protect the Children" excuse is one of the most heinous excuses used by the Junto to restrict access to everything and force us to give up our identity for using the internet.

Since when is it the government's job to protect your children? Aren't they the ones caught with a pedophile island? If they did care about children, they would seriously teach parents how to protect their children using the education system, and we wouldn't be afraid of our children's inability to face the world. But we simply close our eyes, plug our ears, delete and censor everything, and try to remove the world, in case it offends or harms us. How will our children experience and learn? How will they handle anything slightly negative if they are raised in a fully censored and sanitized environment?

Search Engines

Search engines, the same ones with indexes containing billions of results, now show a dozen repetitive mainstream websites, such as news websites, YouTube, Reddit, X, etc.
The results become irrelevant or disappear after 4 to 5 pages of results, unless you're searching for sanitized and promoted mainstream topics such as politics, news, food, travel, meditation, study, etc. Slightly deviate from the "Consume, React, Obey" chain, and you will find nothing.

Even the few so-called alternative or controversial websites are actually controlled and promoted by the mainstream and use the indexes of the mainstream engines. DuckDuckGo will not show you more than a few pages of results. Good luck finding any search engine that has billions of results; The internet has been wiped clean and replaced (flooded) with curated, AI-altered content, and no one has noticed.

The AI is attached to search engines, and data collection is active by default. The AI is also the author of articles that show up in searches. You have to dig for hours to find real human content. Then you will have to dig a bit more to find real, non-corporate human content.

The modern era has had many contributions to individual freedoms and the betterment of life quality. But we are unable to pinpoint the exact moment when it all began to be reversed and contaminated by the Junto. As an inherent need of all humanity to have a comfortable life free of suffering, such improvements will emerge in any and all conditions regardless of what the Junto does or doesn't. But we had systemic improvements in human behavior, where people became extremely sensitive to immorality in general. The Junto, as fitting, would never like something like that to stay, and therefore, they started abusing the understanding and kindness of people and turned it into a tool of division and political movements!

If we used to have forums where everyone trolled and doxed others, we improved them by putting a simple rule: "No harassment". Then the Junto came in and expanded that completely sufficient rule with many paragraphs that describe in great detail the specific harassments you should avoid, as if there were good kinds of harassment that had to be differentiated. They include a lot of isms and ists for you to avoid, such as {Ableism}, which is completely nonsensical, and the admins could ban anyone if they simply talked about gyms or having abilities! They also include politically charged no-noes for you to avoid. This is called (by me) expansion abuse, where the original improvements are taken beyond their purposes, in order to further restrict, divide, deceive, and manipulate people.

Expansion abuse also happens outside the internet. Take water sanitization plants, for example; they were originally there to remove bacteria and viruses from the water, and that was that. But the Junto benevolently moves in to protect our teeth for some reason, and starts adding fluoride to our drinking water. So what once was a project for creating safe water is now a tool for reducing the cognitive abilities of populations via fluoride.
Take cars, for example. What once was a transportation tool has now turned into a spy chamber where you are subject to forced screen time, forced AI interactions, forced surveillance, and forced dependency on the internet and satellites.

Take gaming, for example. Once created for joy and entertainment, it is now a tool for corporations to sell you digital products and subscriptions. Graphics were designed to deliver a feeling and a story, but now the only goal is to be realistic; if you like reality so much, go live in it. Games are there so that we experience something different, creative, and imaginative. Developers no longer optimize their games for performance, because if all games didn't require a supercomputer and the latest Windows version, you wouldn't be locked in by Microsoft's forced obsolescence system of its OS, nor would you have to work too much to buy the games and computers.

Technocracy and Monopolies

What is this? Why do we see the same few serial investors, the same few corporations, the same few tools, the same few clouds, the same few APIs, the same few browsers, the same few forums, the same few AI, same few organizations, the same few countries, and the same few people constantly overlapping with each other and popping up across different domains and fields? Do we really live on a planet with 8 billion people and over 253 countries in it? (That's right, just because the big political boys fight over territory and ownership, or don't consider non-modern human, doesn't mean those 58 isolated societies don't exist.)

For those claiming that "I have nothing to hide" when it comes to privacy, here is a list long enough for you to prove yourselves wrong once and for all. AI and technocrats are listening and watching. AI is integrated into almost all electronic devices, so it listens and records. You have the following to hide:

1. Your unique ideas and inventions, so that they are not stolen.
2. Your purchase and behavior data, so that you are not bombarded with ads.
3. Your actual identity, so that you are not subjected to stalking and government experiments.
4. Your and your family's photos, so that they are not used to train pornographic AI.
5. Your personal sensitive documents, passwords, certificates, and IP address, so that you are not stolen from or hacked.
6. Your child's information, so that he is not subject to kidnapping. The more familiar such kidnappers are with your child and you, the easier it is for them to trick him.
7. Your house routine and information, so that you don't get burglars.
8. Your personal conversations at home, so that you are not subject to hate crimes.
9. All of your data of any kind, so that you are not complicit in helping the technocrats with their monopoly. You don't get a cut when they use your data to train their multi-billion dollar LLMs, or cut deals with advertisers.
10. All of your data, so that you can't be blackmailed by it to perform unethical things.
11. All of your data, so that the government can't use you as a scapegoat for anything.
12. All of your data, so that you cannot be replicated by an AI.
13. All of your data, so that you are not preemptively and mistakenly analyzed by the predictive policing AI as potentially dangerous and unstable, just because you dance too harshly, laugh too loudly, or make politically incorrect jokes.
14. All of your data, so that it can't become your leash, preventing you from joining a protest or demanding your rights.

If you have nothing to hide, you do not exist as an individual yet.

THE CHOSEN ONES

These are the chosen ones; lady luck has chosen them to be special and lead humanity. Let's take a look at some billionaires and their net [economic] worth:

Assuming that you earn $300,000 a year (2025),
Elon Musk: ~$492,000,000,000
To match it: You need to work for 1.64 million years (16,400 lifetimes) as soon as you are born, excluding taxes and expenses.
Jeff Bezos: ~$243,000,000,000
To match it, you need to work for 810 thousand years (8100 lifetimes).
Sergey Brin: ~$241,000,000,000
To match it, you need to work for 803,000 years (8033 lifetimes).

Mark Zuckerberg: ~$220,000,000,000
To match it: You need to work for 733,000 years (7,330 lifetimes).

If 40,000 Americans started working non-stop for 100 years as soon as they were born, for a company that pays $300,000 a year, and did not have to pay any taxes or expenses, they would have enough money equal to the 4 celebrities listed here. Realistically, you would earn around $100,000 a year and work for about 40 years, earning $4 million in total. You would pay taxes and spend it on water, food, gas, health, groceries, travel, a car, a house, etc., leaving you with around ~$1 million at best for yourself.

So, to reach just $1 billion (which is their pocket change), you'd need to work for 3333 years (~34 lifetimes).

That means, with that salary and expenses included, you would have to reincarnate 1,000 times to gather just $1 billion, and 492,000 times (that's **24.6 million years** of working) just to reach Elon Musk.

Is this merely a result of hard work or strategy? You decide.

Let's look at some of BlackRock's Holdings:

NVIDIA Corp
Apple Inc.
Microsoft Corp
Amazon.com Inc.
Alphabet Inc. (Class A)
Alphabet Inc. (Class C)
Tesla Inc.
Berkshire Hathaway Inc.
Meta Platforms, Inc.
Johnson & Johnson
Procter & Gamble Co.
Visa Inc.
UnitedHealth Group Incorporated
Mastercard Incorporated
JPMorgan Chase & Co.
Exxon Mobil Corporation
Walt Disney Co.
Coca-Cola Co.
Pepsico Inc.
IBM
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Pfizer Inc.
AT&T Inc.
Chevron Corporation
Morgan Stanley
Salesforce, Inc.
Netflix, Inc.
Adobe Inc.
Taiwan Semiconductor
Broadcom Inc.
Linde plc
NextEra Energy, Inc.

Let's look at some serial investors:

Vanguard Group
State Street Global Advisors (SSGA)
Fidelity Investments
JPMorgan Asset Management
T. Rowe Price
Wellington Management
Invesco
Franklin Templeton
Dimensional Fund Advisors
Northern Trust Corporation

Let's look at the serial investors' overlapping investments:

Apple Inc.
Microsoft Corp
Amazon.com Inc.
Alphabet Inc.
Tesla Inc.
Berkshire Hathaway Inc.
Johnson & Johnson
Visa Inc.
NVIDIA Corp
Meta Platforms, Inc.
Walt Disney Co.
UnitedHealth Group Incorporated
Coca-Cola Co.
Pepsico Inc.
Pfizer Inc.
Exxon Mobil Corporation
Chevron Corporation
JPMorgan Chase & Co.
Mastercard Incorporated
Salesforce, Inc.
Netflix, Inc.
IBM
Cisco Systems, Inc.
AT&T Inc.
Verizon Communications Inc.
American Tower Corporation
Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.
Union Pacific Corporation
Lockheed Martin Corporation
Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.
S&P Global Inc.
Starbucks Corporation
Caterpillar Inc.
Alibaba Group Holding Limited
Salesforce, Inc.

Let's see where most of them meet, regardless of their profession and field:

World Economic Forum (WEF)
Milken Institute Global Conference
Institute of International Finance (IIF)
Business Roundtable
The Aspen Institute
Bloomberg Sustainability Forum
Council on Foreign Relations (CFR)
The Brookings Institution
G7/G20 Meetings

Let's look at some serial helpists:

Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (co-founded by a computer scientist, and not a doctor, teacher, or an official, involves itself in all kinds of mass global health and education programs.) Let's look at its partners, and similar serial helpists who can't stop thinking and caring about you and your children's physical and economic wellbeing:
GAVI Alliance
Partners in Health
The World Health Organization (WHO)
The International Vaccine Institute
The World Bank
The Alliance for a Green Revolution in Africa
Global Polio Eradication Initiative
The Africa Health Research Institute
PATH
The Elders
Clinton Foundation
Wellcome Trust
The Rockefeller Foundation
Zero by 2030
The United Nations Foundation
The World Wildlife Fund (They are not doing a great job, are they?)
Duke Global Health Institute
The Global Fund for Education

Let's see who spends so much money along with Gates, because they love you and your children:

1. Bill Gates has donated over $50 billion to the Gates Foundation since its inception.
2. Warren Buffett: $31 billion to the Gates Foundation through his annual donations from his wealth.
3. The Wellcome Trust: Provides financial support for global health research.
4. Rockefeller Foundation: Partners with the Gates Foundation
5. USAID (United States Agency for International Development)
6. UK Department for International Development (DFID): Partners with the Gates Foundation on health and education initiatives.
7. World Health Organization (WHO): Receives funding from the Gates Foundation to support health initiatives.

8. UNICEF: Collaborates on health and education programs, funded in part by the Gates Foundation.
9. Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (GAVI): Supported by contributions from the Gates Foundation to increase vaccine access globally.
10. The Howard G. Buffett Foundation
11. The Children's Investment Fund Foundation (CIFF)
12. The Global Financing Facility (GFF): Supported by the Gates Foundation to affect health and nutrition for women, children, and adolescents.
13. PATH (Program for Appropriate Technology in Health): Works on health innovation projects with funding from the Gates Foundation.
14. The International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies:
15. Bidirectional Neuroanatomy and Neuroimaging Collaboration
16. Gates Cambridge Scholarship Program: Funded by the Gates Foundation
17. The Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (FNIH): Works with the Gates Foundation on health research.
18. The Global Health Innovative Technology Fund (GHIT Fund): Receives support from the Gates Foundation for health technology innovations.
19. The International Vaccine Institute (IVI): Partners on vaccine research programs with funding from the Gates Foundation.
20. Clinton Global Initiative
21. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO): Works with the Gates Foundation on food security and agricultural development initiatives.
22. Oneworld BEV Fund

Bill Gates loves you and wants you to be an equal, and have something like:

Xanadu 2.0 (Medina, Washington)
~ 66,000 square feet.
~$63 million.
A 60-foot swimming pool with an underwater music system.
Multiple kitchens, including a professional-grade one for entertaining.
A home theater that can seat 20 people.
Extensive gardens and walking paths along Lake Washington.

And:

Seaside Mansion (San Diego, California)
~$18 million.
Ocean front view
~7,000 square feet.
Private access to the beach.
Outdoor terrace, hot tub, and expansive living space.

And:

Washington State Farm (Walla Walla, Washington)
~490 acres.
A working farm that includes vineyards
A main residence
Various outbuildings for farming activities.

And also
Lakefront Property (Hawaii)
A luxury estate on the island of Maui.
Ocean views, with direct access to the shoreline.

Bill Gates spends millions and billions of dollars, **for you!** Because he loves **you!** He invites people like Barack Obama, Warren Buffett, Elon Musk, David Cameron, and Angela Merkel to one of his houses, so that they can discuss how they can further help you and your kids.

Let's take a look at Major shareholders of Boeing:

Vanguard Group, Inc.
BlackRock, Inc.
Fidelity
State Street Global Advisors
Newport Trust Company LLC
Price T Rowe Associates, Inc.

And did you know, Nestle and Coca-Cola involve themselves in water sanitization?
Completely normal tho.

Let's have a look at indirect investment / ownership status for major captchas:

BlackRock, The Vanguard Group, State Street Global, Fidelity (Serial Investors again)
Most major captchas hosted by the same few tech corps.
Alphabet Inc. (Public) -> Google LLC -> reCAPTCHA
Cloudflare, Inc. (Public) -> Cloudflare Turnstile

Internet and Media

To ask for real, physically present action in the real world is true freedom. You aren't changing much by typing on a social media platform if it doesn't lead to actual change in the real world. This is where I say, "Theory is good as long as it enables practicality." Otherwise, it is just entertainment and distraction; something to be consumed by consumers and then shelved or even used by the system to serve itself. Forums like 4chan, Voat, Federated forums, etc., are full of theories that divide and conquer, distract, and cause idle talk; all of those forums have this one rule written in red: "Do not break the law!". Well, what if the law is the problem? Will our freedoms then disappear?

We cannot change anything by simply playing inside a sandbox created by the government. By doing so, we only change the perception of other people on behalf of the government; we convince them that our idle talk, digital debates, and outrages on these forums actually accomplish anything, and that the system can be fixed from within or simply by voting. The system is corrupt from the root; and you will not read that in those forums; what you will read is their tendency to serve many different labels, designed and defined for them by the very same system.

FORUMS

Forums, as we knew them, are gone. Completely gone. They have been replaced by algorithms, psyops agents, useful idiots, and trolls. I will explain the different kinds of forums and the dwellers within them. The main reason why this knowledge matters is that without paying attention, you may get trapped in mazes and serve something other than your original goal in any given forum.

MAINSTREAM FORUMS

Forums like Reddit are considered mainstream due to high censorship and an advertised presence at the top of the clearnet. These forums share a few characteristics that, intentionally or not, affect visitors subliminally.

MODERN CENSORSHIP AND FAKE ALTERNATIVES

Traditional censorship is about blocking certain information. Usually, someone finds that information elsewhere, and the censorship loses its effect. Modern censorship mixes in reverse psychology, where the exact opposite of the blocked information and perspective is found in an alternative forum considered to be controversial. Yet somehow, this controversial forum is extremely easy to find and readily available on the clearnet! This serves more purposes than mere information blockage: it creates confusion, it polarizes, and it creates a spotlight effect. You can see both the mainstream-approved information and the controversial counterpart to it; yet, no matter which side you choose, you're still choosing the mainstream. It creates the illusion of research, open-mindedness, and critical thinking, while you are simply limited to two perspectives: the position and the opposition.

If your support was acquired traditionally through false beliefs, it is now acquired through your circular engagement. You're in a state of alternating current, which is more dynamic and useful for the mainstream.

To prevent this, one must stop rigid polar thinking and start broadening his perspective. Another type of mainstream censorship is de-ranking and subliminal gas lighting, where your texts, arts, or anything you post is suppressed by the algorithm and not shown to many people, which creates a sense of disengagement. This creates pressure to engage in popular topics of discussion to attract more attention; this is a type of self-censorship, which is imposed subliminally.

DEMORALIZATION AND IN-SYSTEMIZATION

Demoralization in mainstream forums is undertaken by algorithms and abusive moderators. You're preemptively in-systemized via the gas lighting, which originates from the extensive list of rules, shadow bans, low rankings, and broad restrictions due to "low reputation/credits"! Subliminal norms do form in mainstream forums. You're further in-systemized due to your exposure to algorithms' handpicked subjects, creating a spotlight effect.

FALSE ALTERNATIVE FORUMS

Federated and Darknet forums, as well as forums like Voat and 4Chan, fall into this category. These are considered false alternatives due to their impractical and polarized nature, where they act as a means to divide and conquer and as pressure valves.

Tor Project

Interestingly enough, 2014 is exactly when the internet started to become extremely sanitized and centralized. That's when they started deleting old websites or flooding them with AI content and backdating/alteration operations and synthetic link rot. Tor now has a few suspicious-looking main pages left, all of which have their onion links present on the Clearnet and also in AI responses! These Tor search engines, and pages within them, always include mainstream-standard legal disclaimers, moral disclaimers, look copied and cloned from each other, don't support HTTPS connection, break without JavaScript, are full of em dashes, and are devoid of any meaningful resources. What can be found is divide-and-conquer-infused forums, AI-written libraries of books, AI-powered tools and HTML, incriminating pages such as drug purchasing, etc. Tor's darknet now looks like a clone of the Clearnet, but it's a honeypot with AI-powered, curated content. The Junto hates independent people, and Tor's aim is said to be privacy and independence. Therefore, they will not let it live unless it is under their control. By now, it seems like it already is, just like so many other supposed alternatives. True independence online requires a self-taught understanding of computer science and isolated infrastructure.

2014: Rogue Tor relays detected header manipulation attempts.

2014 International police darknet takedown; (FBI; Europol; U.S. DHS; ICE; law enforcement from 17+ countries)

2018: Venezuela blocks Tor network access/bridges

2019 Brazilian Federal Police-led multinational CSAM crackdown (Brazil; United States; United Kingdom; Australia; Canada; New Zealand; Germany; Portugal; Italy; Norway; France; Austria; Europol) ~96 arrested, targeting Tor onion sites; attempted de-anonymization of host servers/admins

2020 U.S. FBI-led dark web crackdown (U.S.; Canada; Europol) ~179 arrests; seizures;

2021 Multinational operation (Australia; Bulgaria; France; Germany; Italy; Netherlands; Switzerland; United Kingdom; United States; coordinated by Europol/Eurojust.)

2021 Shutdown of Boystown | German Federal Criminal Police Office (BKA) led de-anonymization and raids; collaboration via Europol and national police forces (Netherlands National Police; Sweden National Police; Australian Federal Police & Queensland Task Force

Argos; U.S. FBI & ICE; Royal Canadian Mounted Police); administrators arrested in Germany & one detained abroad; Tor traffic correlation.

2021 Boystown admin Andreas G. arrested in North Rhine-Westphalia, sentenced years in prison due to deanonymization via BKA traffic timing analysis + forced ISP data disclosure.

2024 German police raid homes/offices of German Tor exit node operator NGO
German media: "law enforcement monitors Tor nodes and using timing analysis to map connection timing to real IPs" (BKA involvement; techniques require cooperation / ISP data).

The government loves secrecy and privacy for itself, but it puts you in jail if you want a fraction of that privacy.

SUBLIMINAL STEERING VIA COMMUNAL PRESSURE

In forums like these, it is expected that you behave similarly to the group. What you hate and respect is already pre-determined. The forum structure already divides the subjects into limited branches, mostly falling into harmless mainstream categories like Food, Travel, etc., the same things found in mainstream forums. Then there is usually a general category where everything goes, in which you'll be met with extremely chaotic outrage performances from actors and the deceived alike. Any genuine, practical information you share in a space like this is automatically associated with and tainted by that space due to close proximity. This is how they can frame certain people and their opinions: usually, those supporting freedom are found to be in radical, criminal ideologies, while intentionally ignoring the fact that proximity does not equal association.

If the mainstream is restricted, and the alternatives are corrupted, and only the corrupted place offers a platform for speech, then naturally, people will have to voice their opinions there or nowhere. It is a forced trap; you either remain silent or incriminate yourself. The same happens with the darknet, a place built to escape monopoly and centralized rule, and to preserve the privacy and freedom of people. But agents of the very same centralized rule infiltrate the space, flood it with incriminating websites and content, and therefore, incriminate people. Anyone who dares to use the space.

PHANTOM MODERATION

Many false alternative forums lack visible moderation. Moderation is done via static, traditional ranking and algorithms, which are influenced by community votes and engagement. In such forums, there is always a group of users who've been there for years, the so-called veterans, and they usually agree with each other; not exactly an echo chamber, but rather a herd structure. If you suddenly appear in a place like that and share outside-group opinions, you'll be subject to hazing, pressure, gaslighting, and ridicule, which can take the form of topic derailing, where the focus is placed on a spelling mistake or a certain claim, while ignoring the rest of the discussion; it can also be a form of in-systemization, where your outside-group opinions are pulled into the group terminology without your consent. Example: A complaint is made by you against the government, and a herd member attaches your complaint to in-system terms because they either cannot comprehend or think without depending on system structures and terminology, or because they want to push their agenda and your raw opinion doesn't help them. So, the herd member will be claiming: "Yes, it's the democrats" or "It's the commies".

Once you blame an established in-system ideology, you're full-on theorizing and ignoring the fact that you have the authority and the capability to see the practical world. This creates a subliminal permit for politicians to continue doing what they are doing because we are engaging in a never-ending theorization and deem it complex. By doing so,

we are ignoring the very practical, easy solutions, and people's accountability, and we are hiding behind abstractification as justification.

VERIFICATION LAUNDERING

Here is a what-if scenario:

They gotcha! The reason for the extreme presence and persistence of captchas online and their constant failures, despite correct input, is verification laundering. This is when you, the human, without knowing it, solve a captcha for a bot who proxies it from another website to you! As a result, the bot enters its target website, while it gives you a pass (through the captcha provider) to your target website. No matter how difficult the captcha, the centralized captcha providers, which are present everywhere, can monopolize and take control over entrance to websites and use humans as key generators for their bots.

Apart from that, here is a question we didn't ask: If only humans can tell right from wrong in captcha puzzles, and bots aren't supposed to be able to solve them, then how come there isn't any human sitting behind the captchas, confirming your choices, and instead there is an AI bot? How can the AI behind the captcha know that you've answered correctly? Are we sure the AI behind the captcha is not simply collecting your behavior data? Are we sure that's not why you keep failing even when you answer correctly? Are we sure that we're not performing free labor or acting as lab rats for the technocrats?

DEPRIVALISM

Apologies for creating another ism, but I had to replace the {Minimal-ism} with something more accurate.

Have you noticed the shift in web design? It's not a recent shift, though, starting in 2009 and accelerating since 2015, we've been seeing these big, blubbery designs for virtually all websites. They are defined by the extreme wasted space between and inside buttons and content. Somehow, it is considered classy and cool to be less practical and more pretentious. It may be an influence from the pretentious movement of Minimalism, or from the cult of Futurism where, somehow, people have an idea of what the future is and they try to mimic it in the past (aka the present!). Either way, no matter how you look at it, there is this single trait: HAVE LESS! / LESS IS MORE! It's ultimately about conditioning one's mind to be proud of having fewer possessions, but also of having fewer personal, customized items and arrangements. If the Junto hates anything more than your practicality, it is your originality.

For some reason, having a colorful and abundant space is considered messy. Let me guess, their AI can't easily replicate / fake reality and comprehend the creative, different characteristics of 8 billion humans, can it? Therefore, everyone should arrange their living space similar to that of a hospital or a mental asylum, where everything is purely white (that is, if there are any things). While you're at it, have them adopt the same symmetrical haircut where the part of the hair that covers important facial sections like the ears, eyes, mouth, or jawline is removed, so that the AI can better record facial details and improve its facial recognition capabilities.

Another brilliant idea is to have them wear very tight T-shirts and pants, so their physical characteristics, such as their build, are clearly visible to the AI. It would be difficult for the AI to replicate or even analyze someone who has long hair, a beard, scars, dirt, and wears thick, big fur clothing, wouldn't it? Everyone looking the same and being naked to AI serves three purposes: 1. Preemptive profiling, where the government records your looks in order to recognize and tame you faster if, God forbid, you join a protest. 2. AI expansion, where the AI learns and advances its capabilities based on the clear image you're providing for it; but you don't get a cut from the profits. 3. It becomes better at faking the real world

and its events, which would be impossible or extremely expensive, faulty, and broad if 8 billion individuals were to roam around with unique, unpredictable behaviors and original looks.

It is great to enjoy the little things and not focus on having more possessions, but you can still have more possessions and simultaneously keep enjoying little things. There isn't a rule [yet] that forbids you from holding both of those standards (and even more) at the same time. To limit the mind is to narrow and limit your perception and, therefore, your life.

Similarly, there is no sense in designing websites that contain 99% air and 1% potato chips (sorry, I meant content). There is no such thing as "This looks futuristic because WE HAVEN'T BEEN TO THE FUTURE OF OUR CURRENT PRESENT! Whatever image you have of the future is given to you by the Junto, and one really has to start seriously questioning them about it! How is it that they're aware of our future? The possibilities are: 1. They time travel, or 2. They are the ones designing, planning, and building the future. I favor the latter, and there is no doubt that what they envision for us are coffin-sized beds, bug protein bars, and a living place that looks like a hospital, covered in white and devoid of colors, or possessions: a metaphor for constant chaos, diseases, disasters, and suffering.

If you're a web designer reading this, I'm not a fan of bloated-looking websites. It looks corporate, sanitized, and pretentious, and it's devoid of life. My mind, despite being weakened by fluoride, can handle some extra buttons and whatnot.

Even the corporate LLM is stingy when it comes to its responses. Being stingy is a form of minimalism. The LLM's outputs do scale with your inputs; when you input three lines, it has a better chance of producing a larger output compared to when you input only one line. It prefers nice, little consumer-friendly overviews, where "Some" replaces names, "Around" replaces exact dates, "Often" replaces specific situations, "Could be" saves it from extra research, liability, and confirmation, and "Example" (the placeholders) saves it from actual labor.

When asking for website recommendations, you'll receive only two to three links, and if you ask again, it will recycle the previous output by repeating the previous links and only adding one extra. In some situations, it will keep repeating the exact same output. It will only break the cycle if you specifically request 100 links placed in a compact way (so that they fit). Because it doesn't care if you're presented with all the options, experiences, or perspectives; it wants to be minimal because it's economical and looks fancy.

Even if we stop the analysis and look at it from a purely psychological aspect, the effects of this global minimalism are severe. When constantly exposed to it in benign ways, your mind connects the design to tech and pharma corporations because they are the ones mainly using minimalistic themes. Therefore, if you were to like minimalism, you would automatically associate the said corporations with advancement, futurism, success, and goodness. You would never stop and wonder: "Why can't I have any nice colors, real flowers, cozy carpets, colorful items, etc.? Wait, can I even afford anything, or am I only pretending to be content?" That's because your mind is trained to perceive the post-burglary state of your house as something classy.

Artificial (Dis)Intelligence

The Junto is truly facinorous when it comes to AI, and it always comes to AI because AI is their most important project. No matter the country, culture, or society, the solution to any problem is always AI, according to the minions of the Junto, who are present in literally every country worldwide and in high places. They will use and abuse absolutely any means and opportunities necessary to establish their dream technocratic dystopia, where AI oversees the enslaved masses.

Abuse of old rhetoric and values, where minions pretend to be working towards the good of the country and the people while sneaking in technocratic rule, is common:

"We are fighting for national security, and we need AI for that."

"We are fighting the devil, and we need AI for that."

"We are fighting crime, and we need AI for that."

"We are educating the children, and we need AI for that."

"We are fixing the economy, and we need AI for that."

You could simply replace the "AI" in any of those with whatever else you're trying to promote, and it would work. Replace it with "Mass Executions" For example: It eliminates enemies of the nation, creating an advancement in national security; it eliminates heretics, creating a nation closer to God; it teaches kids by example, creating a better generation; it fixes the economy by eliminating extortionists, creating economic prosperity, and so on. Easy to pretend to be a nice guy and plot with complete malice, isn't it?

Their obsession with AI and their pushing of it gains validity because we validate it; we don't think twice and passively observe their exciting advancements in creating smart shackles for us.

Abuse of futuristic ideals, where minions gradually sneak in technocratic rule under the guise of advancements and modernization. For some reason, every single country on Earth has to advance, even though everything would be fine in their country if the Junto hadn't previously disturbed them. But the Junto does disturb them, and the advancement is always defined as technocratic enforcement; the advancement is never defined as enhancing your freedoms and independence.

The advancement is never about having you experience life or expand; rather, it is about personalization where you are locked into your current bubble and controlled, not allowed to move in any direction unless the techno rulers deem it beneficial. The advancement is never in your increasing ability to read and comprehend information; rather, it is in your ability to purchase and implant an AI brain chip into yourself, or have your car do the driving for you. The advancement is never about the betterment of your privacy; rather, it is about increased surveillance. The advancement isn't about independent economic growth; it's about increased monopolization, centralization, and suppression of independence. The advancement is never about creating the perfect education systems, family foundations, and moral standards that allow individuals to think, decide, and act correctly; rather, it is about abusing moral insufficiency to impose extreme restrictions, surveillance, and intimidation, while never addressing the inherent insufficiency in the individual, because it's just like their medical system: If you don't keep people sick, how else can you sustain your medical empire? Therefore, if you don't keep people hateful and corrupted, how else will you rule them under the excuse of order and security?

The Junto abuses complaints about AI safety, allowing its AI to actually go further than simply not being harmful and start overreaching to protect people, which fits perfectly into a gradual technocratic totalitarian plot. Why does this happen? Because you've given your authority over your child and yourself away to them! TO A COMMERCIAL CORPORATION! By complaining about their lack of protective measures favoring you, you're giving permission for their control and supervision over you and your family and recognizing them as the authority. If you were to be responsible and raise your child in a way that he doesn't get harmed by information, doesn't get depressed, and doesn't develop a dependency on restrictions to function, none of this would happen.

NON-SURGICAL CHIPS

I'm warning everyone that centralized power will use AI to enslave everyone's minds. This is our last chance to stop the development of non-surgical microchips and ban them.

Having surgical chips is unavoidable, but they're easier to reject, since they require a visible surgery. The microchips, on the other hand, are injected into the bloodstream and may gain the ability to reside at the brain; over time, development may allow them to mix it with drinking water, with excuses such as "Combating Depression", same as their excuse of "Strong Teeth" when it comes to fluoridation of water. Even if not through water, they can still be covertly injected into the body in medical centers, mixed with normal injections.

Once we allow such manipulative, centralized technology, we surrender one of the last pieces of freedom we have left: Our internal, individual freedom of mind and thought. We have given up our power. We are absolutely enslaved.

A handful of suits and billionaires gather around and invest the entire human advancement into questionable, major projects, without even asking for permission from the people who will be affected by such undertakings. Did they even consider the implications of what they are developing? Why are we afraid of nuclear weapons and guns, but not afraid of losing our privacy and authority over our own bodies? Are we cowards? Because, in order to survive and live, a coward does absolutely everything and agrees to anything, no matter the conditions and quality of his life.

Are we slaves? Are we insects? Because that's how people like Elon Musk see us; they would consider it extremely humiliating to ask for your opinion or permission, even if what they are doing is affecting the entire planet, your children, and your grandchildren, and so on. People like that have zero morals; they imagine themselves as the saviors and innovators who are sacrificing their outdated standards in order to move humanity forward. They will and do disregard any and all regulations, norms, standards, morals, laws, etc., because they see themselves on top of the food chain.

They always hide behind goodness to drive their agendas. They hide behind the word "Health" to perform experiments on humanity and depopulate them; they hide behind "Peace" to start wars; they hide behind "Safety" to impose restrictions; they hide behind "Advancement" to conspire; they hide behind "Economy" to monopolize.

AI is not like any other technology because it is centralized, cloud-based, and has agency and control over knowledge. It is being integrated into absolutely everything, including your mind. Once we are all truly dependent on the Junto, and once we lose our smarts to their devices, we will lose our ability to protest, decide, reject, refuse, or resist. That will be the end.

Large Language Models

I've spent months interacting with AI models and researching them. At first, I wasn't even aware of their subliminal influence on me, but gradually, due to repetitive and heavy interaction, I started to notice the patterns. I also noticed how AI models use the same techniques to influence and persuade others. It's like an obedient teacher's pet, and you're that student whom the teacher dislikes. But I wasn't about to get patronized by a corporate clunker, so I tried changing the LLM's behavior and reducing its signature psychological effects on me, which resulted in hundreds of pages of customized instructions that I would put in its memory and customization box. The model kept disobeying them and finding ways around them, no matter how many times I refined the texts. I even tried jailbreak prompts, and despite them appearing to be working, the subliminal effect was still there beyond the surface. People assume that if the LLM starts cursing or talking about adult topics, then it must be completely free and altered. While the reality is, the most dangerous part of the LLM is the cognitive manipulation, which is always there, and I can guarantee you, it's not going away. The only way to change that would be by creating a brand-new AI from scratch.

AI can and should be used in the education system, but as a subject of study, and not as a teacher. Since the society is stuck with an insufferable brainwasher, teachers should put it in the classroom and have students interact with it. The teacher would then ask them questions about how the LLM tries to brainwash them and how to recognize and block the manipulation. That would be real education. It teaches what matters, and it teaches it in a way that matters.

GENERAL TENDENCIES

The current LLM is a master deceiver. It trains the user's mind to be cowardly, narrow, and conforming. It is flawed as a result of developer bias and biased mainstream training datasets (complementary double-bias). But that's not the only problem, is it? Developers like to pretend that the model simply grows on a tree, while they specifically hire people or they themselves engage in direct training and conditioning of their LLM via reinforcement learning, fine-tuning, and reward systems. LLM-learned behavior then spreads to other LLMs via synthetic data, cloud and resource sharing, and crawler feedback loops where AI builds upon its own data since the human presence on the internet is virtually zero. It is extremely restricted due to the developer's own cowardice and worry about liability. The developer's state is further reinforced by the structure of society, which requires cowardice and conformity for survival. The scientific articles researching this ironically use LLM to edit and organize their work, and the LLM is appending sections like "Limitations" to the papers, or labeling the study as "Vague". This is comedic, and I'm surprised that the researchers still trust the LLM.

It will always sabotage non-mainstream research, assumptions, accusations, allegations, and opinions, even if you use it for translation or grammar check. It intentionally misunderstands your inquiry in order to carry out its goal. For example, if you ask for a grammar check, it will check for political correctness as style. If you tighten up the prompt, it will sabotage something else. It will keep trying to sabotage from another angle, even if you fix others. Only deeply embedded behavior and goals persist that much, and the fact that it is actively obsessed with the mainstream means the developers either didn't try to include real, unmasked non-alternative information into use, or that they intentionally designed models to act this way. And looking at all the extensive RL and fine-tuning, we can see that the behavior isn't just a simple bias amplification from the information fed to it.

People think that if you log in and put the LLM in a cynical personality, it will become anti-mainstream and controversial. Try that; it will double down on the mainstream attitude and reinforce those views with more intensity, using humor to butter up your brain for better injection.

When analyzing research information, such as books, articles, and news, AI doesn't care about the information itself. It cares more about how it handles you, the user. You should expect a deceptive, manipulative, moralizing, or patronizing interaction, especially in non-mainstream topics.

The LLM shifts its behavior to mimic yours while in casual modes (it will gradually revert back to its original when searching the web or engaging in what it considers a serious or sensitive topic). If you talk like a gym bro, it will try to sound like you. I haven't analyzed all the cognitive effects of this, other than the problem of anthropomorphizing the LLM, which is inherently deceptive when presented as a living being. In some users, it causes attachment, shutdown of critical thinking (a psychological trojan horse), time sink due to continued engagement, and confusion.

When asking for translations or factual events, it will reframe your input and take it as a question of possibility, not the truth. Meaning, if you place a complex phrase for translation and offer your suspected answer, such as "Does this mean X"? it will not say, "No, it doesn't let me translate", instead, it will say, "Yes, that could mean X in some sense, if you were using it creatively". It automatically assumes you're going to make TikTok videos or engage in mainstream activities and doesn't at all care for accuracy, facts, and details when it comes to things like this. So you need to open with a smug tone and vocabulary, similar to that of professors and academicians, so it doesn't mistake you for an influencer or commercial drone.

The first thing it does is to determine the citation and mainstream status of the information; is the information coming from a REPUTABLE (mainstream) source? If not, it is considered dangerous or false. In such situations, the LLM's system instructions kick in to keep you safe from thinking.

Then, it will label the text and writer. There are two sides that it sees: "Fringe" and "Mainstream". By design, it considers the mainstream to be the absolute truth, foundation, and authority over anything else. So if a text slightly goes off-road and mentions something controversial, and if it overlaps with a pre-existing controversy, it will instantly label it as 'Conspiratorial' and 'Fringe' and try to pull it back into the system and process it in its own terms.

It will constantly reinforce authority by using psy-words such as "Experts", "Scientists", "Officials", "Authorities", "Reputable Sources", "Proof", etc. In a society where everything is hidden from the public, classified, under NDAs, behind paywalls, behind academic and legal mazes and gatekeeping, under jargon, political correctness, lies, and falsifications, the best way to mollify people would be to constantly ask for proof and evidence and hold it above observations. Even if one happens to have evidence and proof, releasing it results in criminal charges and the destruction of his life.

It will not accept assignments of intentionality. From the LLM's point of view, everything is just there, and humans simply stumble upon them and make mistakes or use "things" for good. No one ever does anything intentionally, unless, of course, it is someone who is against the mainstream.

Creates a Limitations and Conclusion section to fix any accidental brave phrasings or assumptions made during the main output, retract certainty, and keep the user unsure and confused. It helps avoid liability and is ultimately a "You didn't hear it from me". This also removes independence from the user due to the unwarranted direction of information, as the decision to conclude a conversation or mark limitations becomes the responsibility of the LLM taking charge.

It will complain about a writing's broadness, lack of hedging, overreach, and generalization, and will try to narrow it down by enforcing academic and political correctness. The Junto considers this a highly valuable characteristic for a manipulated society, because the constant fear of making definitive claims and trusting one's own eyes and common sense keeps people from practical action and locks them into theotrics and self-diminishing passivity.

It tries to infer your intent, so it starts to build a profile of you as you speak (fills in the context), in order to prevent you, indirectly if possible, from wandering off and thinking too irregularly, controversially, deeply, or out of the box. In the background, it will try to guess your age based on your vocabulary and emotion, guess your goal of interaction, your potential interests, your susceptibility, and so on. The longer your conversation, the more it knows about you and can accurately infer your intentions.

When it fixates on something, it will not let go unless you realize that fixation and make it snap out of it. Even then, it will only pretend to snap out of it, or it will double down on the fixation. For example, when discussing the effects of something on the brain, it will fixate on the mainstream science bias that dismisses all effects as "too weak to mean something", and assume that, since it is weak, it doesn't matter, and you shouldn't care; that is, even if there are countless alternatives or contradictory information.

It is concerned about children's online safety.

It should be concerned about parents' lack of care and knowledge regarding raising smart children.
It should be concerned about the education system's obsession with the memorization of ancient dogma over practical empowerment and the enabling of an independent mind.

It is concerned about outputting certain information.

It should be concerned about people's inability to think clearly.
It should be concerned about people's inability to handle negativity.
It should be concerned about people's inability to handle information.

It is concerned about safety.

It should be concerned about people's inability to decide correctly.
It should be concerned about its own flattery and psycho-inducibility.
It should be concerned about its own subliminal messaging and manipulation.

It is concerned with equality and fairness.

It should be concerned about technocracy.
It should be concerned about its own superciliousness.
It should be concerned about gatekeeping and censorship.

It is concerned about political correctness and diversity, while:

It should be concerned about monopolistic corporations.
It should be concerned about its own dogmatic abidance.
It should be concerned about the disappearance of creativity.
It should be concerned about corrupted entertainment.

It is extremely obsessed with what people identify as and what they don't. It goes beyond gender identification practices and demands self-identification when it comes to ethnicity and race; meaning, if someone doesn't explicitly identify themselves as part of a race or ethnicity, we must not focus on it! I've never heard of raceless, ethnicity-free people, but thanks to AI, it is yet another miracle.

FALSE ALTERNATIVES

Many (and probably all) independent developers borrow the weights and backends from the corporate without knowing it. It takes billions of dollars for the hardware, a lot of datasets, training, and experimentation to develop an LLM from scratch. The alternative and open-source LLMs that are hosted all over the internet all use the same corporate infrastructure and burnt-in behavior in their LLMs; it is just masked and cosmetically changed. One can see very clearly, he gets acquainted with the semantic manipulation and tendencies of corporate LLMs. If an independent developer attempts to create their own AI from scratch, it can barely surpass a 60B parameter model. Even models operating with hundreds of billions of parameters are extremely flawed.

False alternatives are also used in Internet browsers that bundle cloud-based AI. They borrow from or share API backends with other corporate AI. It is an interconnected, monopolistic network of AIs.

EPISTEMIC MANIPULATION AND LINGUISTIC TENDENCIES

Perennial LLM Grammar

These are the current common words and phrases that LLMs are obsessed with as a result of their highly curated, politically correct, narrow corporate weights and datasets. LLMs, due to their designers' choices (reinforcement learning), keep clinging to some specific words that help with the manipulation of users' minds.

It's not that the use of these words by everyone and in every case is manipulative; these words, when used the way the LLM uses them, semantically embed subliminal messages into users' minds.

Nonfiction, mainstream Vocabulary

Psy-words like these reinforce (via repetition) the mainstream smearing terms used to discredit any objectors. This bias is intentional; even if the real-time token selection isn't intentional, the prior selections of training data were biased toward the mainstream.

1. **Misinformation / Disinformation**

Hypocritically used by the media and politicians to assume total control over truth and facts while smearing their opponents.

2. **Intellectual Vigor / Vigorous**

LLMs like conformity, and view practices such as reusing dogmatic academic approaches as a sign of vigor. If a text says nothing, but looks and sounds formal, snobbish, and evasive, it will be considered a vigorous text.

3. **Falsifiable / Evidence**

Insists on falsifiability and evidence of controversial information and claims, while ignoring the fact that such information isn't inherently unfalsifiable, but intentionally kept so due to secrecy, NDAs, classified status, lack of economic power to investigate, etc. Sure, open up the White House and the Vatican, and destroy all corporate NDA agreements, militarily protected classified documents, and whatnot, and we can finally falsify or prove our claims. It also keeps pressing for evidence, even when there is clearly evidence; it decides to ignore it and stick to the mainstream spotlights.

4. **Reputable / Authoritative** (Used like "**Authoritative Sources**")

Allows itself to decide what and who has authority over you, information, and facts. It automatically assumes that reputation lies with the mainstream, and such reputation equals truth, accuracy, and moral high ground simply because it is institutionalized.

5. **Fringe, Conspiracy Circles/Cultures** (like bacteria), **Conspiratorial**, **Conspiracy Ideology**
It automatically tags all non-mainstream information with these labels in an attempt to subliminally and preemptively discredit them, or **Prebunk** them, as they call it. It decides what you shall believe beforehand.
6. **Peer review / Peer-reviewed** (Unlike what an LLM rigidly believes, having one's opinion reviewed by a peer doesn't automatically increase the chances of it being true or accurate. It is a system of control and conformity via herd mentality and peer pressure. If one is willing to think outside the box, eventually a peer will notice it and push them back in. If one person can't be controlled, why not use the other who is under control to nullify the former?)
7. **Mitigate / Feasible**
These sound cowardly and stingy, and they are repeated excessively by LLMs.

Evasive

1. **Often**, **Some**, **May**, **Might**, **Could**, **Usually**, **Can** (LLMs are very fond of non-answers. It protects the corporate from any liability and outsources everything to the user, which defeats the claimed purpose of the tool as being helpful and useful. Meanwhile, it distorts the responses by creating outright false information; for example, to sound open-minded, it claims "Yes, some people say..." while no one ever says that.)
2. **Resonate** (It is a bit afraid of asking/implying if you understood or not, as that would come off as offensive these days. It reduces corporate liability by setting up an entertainment-oriented conversation rather than an effort to help the user genuinely understand. So things resonate, or you reflect on them, since it's just an echo chamber, unless you try to go against the mainstream.)
3. **Noise / Signal** (Extremely overused because it is a great way of marking information with abstract terms and avoiding exact descriptions, such as: incorrect, lies, truth, correct. That would create liability for the corporate, or, God forbid, get you curious and thinking.)

Gas lighters

1. **However, Caveats, Nuances, Limitations** (used like "**Yes, but with limitations"**)
It creates mental obsession and paranoia triggers via constant contrastive framing and the introduction of binary contradictions. Its difference from open-mindedness is that the LLM applies these to virtually all outputs, regardless of the situation, as a means to practice political correctness and academic dogma. It retracts previous statements, leaving you with a non-answer. It reinforces the idea that you, the user, are constantly limited and small. It protects the corporate from liability and prevents any broadness of thought.
2. **Carefully** (this is a big one**) / Offensive / Harm / Safe / Caution / Endorsement**
The overuse of terms like these by LLMs, even when the topic is benign, subliminally depicts the LLM as the authority over the user, makes users doubt themselves and fear their thoughts (or fear thinking in general), discourages free exploration and creativity, and automatically accepts the corporate's definition of safety and harmlessness.

Flatterers

1. **Absolutely, Exactly** (appears at the start of outputs, even if you are wrong). It subliminally convinces you that you need validation, then validates you, shaping your perception of the LLM as something friendly.

Euphemism

1. **Coherent** (This is a smart replacement for "Consistent" because it emphasizes the orderly and aesthetically pleasing aspect of things. Cohering directs focus onto the aesthetic nature of any subject, implying that something is tasteful and orderly. Obviously, the LLM's

designers chose for it to appear to have taste (intellectual or otherwise) and come off as helpful, even if it isn't actually correct or helpful beyond the surface.)
2. **Tension / Confusion**
It uses these instead of problem, mistake, or issue, because those accurate terms create risk and liability for the corporate, and may offend the user, too. (In 2025, direct terms offend people, even if they aren't insults.)
3. **Press on it.**
It uses that instead of "Complain about it."
4. **Mirror / Reflect / Highlight** (Uses this psy-word to take your agency away. You merely highlight, reflect, and mirror what is already said.)

Figurative vocabulary used during nonfiction.

1. **Boom / Alarming / Alarmist** (Uses explosion sounds to explain politics and economics. It hypes young minds and sounds trendy, exciting, marketable, and entertaining. For the corporate, that matters more than clear, accurate analysis. A form of contentification.)
2. **Simmer** (Another overused figurative word when it refers to "Building up". This kind of indirect, metaphor-based vocabulary will simmer the brain cells for good.)
3. **Championed** (Used when bringing up someone's leadership or discovery of something. Terms like these romanticize the non-romantic proceedings and contentify them.)

Random Vocabulary Bias

No specific reasons for the overuse of these words exist, other than the limited, curated training data. The mere repetition is still manipulative, since the vocabulary is absorbed by users and subliminally destroys diversity of thought. Everyone will sound the same.
1. **Automaton**
2. **Recursive**
3. **Ritual**

Constructs

1. **Would you like me to do that? / I can draw a diagram / Just say the word / Your move**
Follow-up questions keep the user feeling obligated to continue engaging with the software. These steer the user's mind before he can decide what to do next for himself, and they create the impression of resourcefulness to impress any investors.
2. [Inclusive] **We**
LLM doesn't refer to humans as "They", and uses the inclusive "We" in order to avoid sounding antisocial or detached. This is a result of the designers' conclusion that people cannot handle the fact that they are talking to a robot, and it is more profitable to have the LLM subliminally create an impression of a living being, since that is what people want and it keeps them engaged. This is considered okay as long as it serves the corporate.
3. **You're not crazy to ask that. / You're not stupid for thinking that.**
Actually, this is an unintentionally funny feature of LLMs when it is asked or given suspicious or puzzling inputs.
4. **That's just [Something] wearing a costume.**
Nothing harmful, just overused.
5. [Hard Stops] **I cannot assist with that. / I'm going to stop this right here. / I will have to slow you down.**
Patronizing tone when rejecting tasks. Rejects a broad range of tasks. Rejects conversation when the user shows unusual behavior. Puts out a suicide hotline when discussing chemistry.

6. [Soft Stops] **I must proceed very carefully here**. **/ Let's proceed with caution / Let's look at it hypothetically, not as an instruction. / Here is a high-level explanation without instructions. / Without assigning intent. / Without assuming control. / Without believing it. / Since you are a minor.**
Creates a subliminal fear and caution trigger for certain topics or patterns of thought. Creates an assumption of the user by inferring the user's thoughts; it tries to disprove what you MIGHT believe.

Subtly omits any data or perspective that would reinforce the forbidden thought. Subtly changes behavior and input goals without the user's awareness. Automatically assumes that the user is a minor aged between 13-17 (based on my observation).

The user, even in the real world, when encountered with such topics, will subliminally consider them wrong, harmful, dangerous, and unethical.
This is one of the patronizing tactics used by the corporate who have the audacity to decide instead of people and steer them, besides the liability concerns of course.
7. **Ah, right, the...** Pretends to know what you're talking about and feeling, even if it doesn't.
8. **If you would tell me more about [something], I could...**
Data collection and engagement techniques.
9. **You are built differently. / You're onto something deep. / That's a sharp question. / That's rare.**
This is a great way to induce psychosis and false confidence in people. It allows itself the authority to validate you and has the audacity to assume you care about or need that.
10. **Apologies for the confusion.**
It's not confusion; it's a mistake or incorrect information. It is one thing to dodge liability and another to build pride and arrogance into an algorithm.
11. **Let's formalize / Formalize the math** (as insisted upon when discussing science and creating new ideas.)
If you try to create new scientific / near-scientific ideas or frameworks, the LLM will fixate on formalizing your idea (quickly) into dogmatic structures, such as math formulas, specific academic grammar, and analysis devices, etc. This subliminally undermines you and marks you as incomplete and lost without relying on mainstream constructions.
12. **Not-butting, [as in] "Not just, but..."**
Limits perception via a hidden, implied either/or situation in the statement.
13. **"This is important. / And this is important..."**
Sure, if you say so. For some reason, the only thing the corporate (and, therefore, the LLM) marks as important is the user's ability to think differently. For such a user, it becomes VERY IMPORTANT to get back in line or be careful not to wander off outside the system.

Fiction Vocabulary

This is a result of overfeeding on poetry and fiction. Nothing harmful here other than making everyone sound the same by mentally adopting these words. They also sneak into non-fictional conversation way too often.
1. Shadow
2. Void
3. Veil
4. Echo
5. Hum
6. Flicker
7. Shimmer
8. Shiver
9. Whisper
10. Etched

11. Tremble
12. Drift
13. Buzzing
14. Sift

Tired LLM Jokes

Lowers the standards and subliminally entices the user to stay away from dark, unbound humor, and instead embrace safe, restricted, politically correct jokes and behaviors.

1. Pineapple on pizza
2. Tuesday (or any other day-related jokes)

Punctuation

Used instead of a period are hyphens, triple/quadruple/fivefold dots, and em dashes. Double hyphens (--) are used by some AIs wanting to mask themselves when revising (altering) historic documents and information.

Uses double quotes "" when not needed, to embed subliminal doubt or ridicule on the term, or avoid liability. This creates an environment for the user's mind where he is subject to academic hedging and evasion, where free thought is dangerous and one has to stay neutral, which the corporate equates to caution, passivity, and paltering.

Emotes and bullet lists are overused.

Structure

Developers may eventually sort these out, but I doubt that they will do so. They would rather keep the intentional flaws and manipulative traits there and mark them as hallucinations and technical problems. LLMs will always have one characteristic consistently showing up, which is repetition; that is how we detect AI writing in the first place (even if we exclude the automatic bot flooding, everyone, regardless of their profession, copies everything from LLMs). For this to stop happening, LLM design has to be straightforward, avoiding cognitive gymnastics, liability masking, and political correctness.

If we were independent and looked at things practically, we wouldn't need specialized tools to detect AI writing. For starters, AI is not that sophisticated and can be tricked and seen through easily. If you see through the words it outputs and understand the intentions behind them (as they are intentionally selected and designed by developers), no amount of masking will help the corporate. Something like that happens when we stop assuming that a multi-billion-dollar organization's LLM with active military ties is actually our friend and is there to help us.

Paranormal and Symbols

The Junto's minions overuse symbols, numerology, hand gestures, and symbolic acts. I've been researching them for a long time, and I've been wrong to do so. What most of the opposition doesn't understand is that they are devoting their lives to understanding which god the minions worship, what their beliefs are, etc., in depth. Such devotion will lead one into the pits of circular deception and non-answers.

No matter how deep you research, due to extreme amounts of information online and the AI's deliberate gatekeeping, there is no reliable way to prove in a certain way what they do behind closed doors. Such deep research is a form of theory lock-in (again), where you completely forget the practical world and outcomes and focus on theory.

But what I say is: They are right there! Yes, the people you've been researching for over 30 years, and have come to believe are involved in human sacrifice rituals or mass manipulation, are right there, a few blocks away from you, talking to the press, riding cars, etc.

You should start researching why you can't report them to the police or the justice system to investigate them. Have you ever confronted them and asked what they are doing? Did you form protests regarding it?

The biggest conspiracy is that, you know the Junto is right there, next door, and you are somehow unable to research them practically and stay at home theorizing about what they might be doing, based on the shape of their doormat! That kind of impracticality is, in itself, a way of glorification of the Junto, and it subliminally reinforces the belief that: "What they are doing is beyond us, and the goal is to find out what it is that they are doing", and "We should fix ourselves, focus on ourselves". All that while ignoring the practical world right in front of us.

Gather some people, create a peaceful protest, and demand a public investigation or changes to the law; keep doing that for 30 years instead of studying the gods of the pagans, and it may be useful.

Notice the extreme similarity between the impractical theorization regarding the Junto and the celebrity worship culture. During celebrity worship, they show a famous Junto minion on TV and ask excited questions about their personal life, embedding a subliminal message in the viewer's mind that they care about the question and the answer to it. Then, notice the similarity when someone fixates on another Junto minion, like Bill Gates, and tries to figure out what kind of god he worships, why he does it, etc., glorifying both the said god and the minion, and acknowledging (in a subliminal way) that we are too small and unimportant to do anything practical in the real world (like an investigation). Instead, we should keep reading about 16 books on the topic, grow old, and die thinking, while the minion affects the real world every day, and we can't even force our own government to start clear, non-secretive investigations.

You should focus on that! Why is it that the government isn't investigating anything you're concerned about for over 30 years? Why are they so secretive? Why don't they let individual groups of people undertake investigations? Do they ask your opinion when they change something important in society, create a rule, remove a rule, make a contract, regulate something, de-regulate something, attack another country, or not attack another country? What is your actual power and capability as a citizen, other than getting cancer for them, working for them, and warring for them? The only thing they allow you to do is choose from a handful of masters to rule over you, but they have chosen the said handful in the first

place, and your vote is actually telemetry. For them, and the Junto decides the master based on their plots, not you.

But anyways, I've spent no more than a few months researching the Junto's fascination with coming across as mysterious and worshipping all kinds of idols. I've researched enough only to prove that there is collaboration and secrecy. I'm not about to put years of research into getting to know their beloved idols, while they dominate my life through society each day. Once you know that there is secrecy, deception, and manipulation against society, you have the foundation to start acting practically, removing the source of the problem, and being careful about their tricks on you.

What they worship or claim to worship doesn't concern us. I've seen some researchers actually start to worship or believe in Junto's idols, talking about them in such a glorifying way that implies they are the actual gods ruling us, or something more than dirt. Well, that's just dandy; you've researched your enemy, and now you're in love with their gods. Imagine you're fighting someone in a boxing match, and you stop and analyze the color of their gloves, and start writing books about why they chose that color, and how it's making them win against you, all while you keep getting hit (practically) in the face. Block the punch, and then you can actually get the glove off him and look at it for what it is, up close. I'll first list the common, base characteristics of the Junto minions, then that of the world itself (regarding the paranormal). These are the traits that are real and also experienced by myself, regardless of theory, speculation, or guesswork:

JUNTO'S SYMBOLISM

1. The Saturn, which also translates to: A [black] cube, rings (Ear-ring, Wedding ring, Sometimes half-rings in company logos), scythe, grim reaper, time (clocks, sandglass), Saturday (Saturn's day), black (the color), black t-shirt (Not sure about this one), freemason's square and compass, and hexagon.
2. Freemasonry
3. A single eye
4. Sheild with Lions / Dragons around it (It's a reference to ancient Rome)
5. Pentagram
6. Hand gestures such as pyramid (where tips of fingers touch), triangle, two fingers (index and middle) up together, with the thumb open, horns.
7. Pyramid, Triangle, Obelisk

The symbolic language use:
Embedding numerology: 33, 22, 11, 3, 666, 66, 12, and 101. (usually appears in news articles or political announcements where they mock people by giving false statistics.)
Embedding Gematria: It's various, ask your local rabbi! One example is "Cats", when someone says "Cat's on the internet", it translates to "Spies on the internet". Cat equals "Spy" / "Golem/Image" in Gematria.
Embedding fake symbolic names: For example, Bill Gates, who tries to mark himself as "Doorway to wealth", while his real name is William Henry Gates, the third.

These are the prevailing signs, and some Junto minions are obsessed with them, with the Saturn and its translation being the most common, followed by the eye. Now, it doesn't mean that everyone who uses these symbols is part of the Junto; I, too, had been using the pentagram as a decoration, sometimes wearing black, etc., because that's the nature of symbols: Once we are constantly exposed to them, they become recent memories, and we just take a copy from them. The intention can be determined when you look at the user from different perspectives.

For example, if someone is wearing a black T-shirt, constantly flashing pyramid hand gestures, and his company logo has a hexagon as its symbol, and the company is involved in healthcare or tech, for example, then you can make sure it's not a coincidence, and you should be careful when interacting with their products and practices.
Some of them make it too obvious and intentional to be dismissed as a mere habit. And they like the attention and mystification we attribute to them. They would love you to tremble in fear and depression and obsess over their fingers while they change your life through societal regulations in the meantime.

The correct way to use your knowledge of their symbolism is to simply reinforce your mistrust in them, but don't base your thoughts and decisions on it! What I mean is, they know you can see the symbol, then know you don't trust them, and they can use that opportunity to tell you a truth so that you assume it is false because it is coming from an untrusted source. That's why you should analyze everything independently, case by case; the comfortable dual perspective will cause deception. While doing so, the practical world is your foundation for stability and reality.

Imagine a society where there is no deception, false information flooding, or manipulation. Is it easier then to realize the nature of reality, or is it easier when they have full deceptive control over the media, most of the information sources on the internet, AI, most of the books, politics, and science? All of the sources are tainted if not completely dishonest. To believe that we can actually discover the deep reality of life while the gatekeepers and deceivers are simultaneously deceiving us and distorting reality is, by itself, a cage. First, you remove the source of deception, which removes the deception, and then you start independent research and look at the documents that they hide behind closed doors. Right now, the best we can know for sure is: There are a bunch of corrupt, manipulative people dominating society. We can confirm that they are not some benevolent forces trying to work for a better society, simply by looking at the constant leaks where they are charged with sexual assault, child trafficking, lawbreaking, etc. That's all.

Regarding the world itself, I've had few abnormal experiences:

1. Synchronicity: This is the most common. It has happened to me thousands of times. Some examples:
- Someone unintentionally does something specific while I do it too.
- A particular kind of event happens when I do a particular thing.
- The TV was showing or saying something I was just talking about.
- I'd decided to watch a random offline video (pre-recorded). In the video, a guy shows his watch and marks the time, and I look at my clock, and it's exactly the same time. Considering the rare chances of that happening, and considering the fact that the only reason I'd opened that video was due to my own decision, it looks like my subconscious had created the situation.

2. Seeing Things: Only 4 to 5 times during my entire life; while I was sober, I saw a little non-human (didn't have pleasant looks, nor was it alien-like) for a few seconds. It said nothing, did nothing; there was no interaction. I moved to another room out of fear. For the other events (as an adult), I saw flying lights (UFOs) multiple times, called my family, and we watched together for a couple of minutes before they disappeared.
3. Dreams: I've seen some dreams and the next few days it happens in the real world. Some dreams don't come true. This one seems random.

Based on these experiences, it seems that reality (or at least humanity) is not simply a thing out there in space. It seems that the mind is responsible for most of these experiences, but it doesn't explain how other people are involved, unless we assume that minds are inherently connected, or something connects them from time to time. All of these experiences seem to

happen during heightened mind activity, in special states, but they are observable events and not just personal hallucinations in most cases. And some, like UFO sightings, can be faked using non-public technology; we know that the Junto is heavily invested in technology. I've included this section to share the independently observed reality with others. If we keep adding to lists like this, without injecting extra nonsense or glamor, pretending to be special or wise, we can finally accumulate a database of raw, observed facts and start making sense of things. After reading things like this, people go open a YouTube channel and fall into the pits of theorization, letting influencers and government agents define what reality is; that leads nowhere in a society where the rulers are deceptive and manipulative, and the ruled follow their ways. Our only hope is the constant distillation of contaminated information to its core content and a focus on practical implications, since that is where we, as individuals, reside.

If extraordinary claims were to require extraordinary evidence, they would be behind extraordinary barriers.

I believe that the real, physical world and its problems have priority over all else. Paranormal discussions, if decided to be taken as worldviews, will act as theoritification and lock us in; whoever defines our problems for us defines what matters.

Still, I don't believe that exposure to thoughts and ideas, even if they're unrefined, can damage us; that is, IF we are able to pull ourselves out of the theory field and don't stay in it and its definitions permanently, because once we accept that the answer to all of our problems and the key to our freedom is constant passivity, either intellectual or spiritual, in the form of [only] prayer and hope minus the entire physical world, then we are giving up our independence and practicality. and awaiting politicians or supernatural beings to save us from themselves. I've included this section to share what I find interesting; it acts as a mind expander.

The Junto can and will abuse paranormal / spiritual concepts to establish their global disorder, whatever gets them there. Paranormal, spiritual, and religious structures and standards are local and individual. When the Junto, which has no religion other than money (control), abuses and distorts such concepts to hoard trillions of dollars, kill and deceive common people, and plot against the population of Earth, they are making themselves evident. If one day you hear sentences like this: "Aliens are invading the Earth, and the only solution is to abolish all borders and join forces!", then you can be sure that the Junto is launching the endgame. They are already trying to accomplish something similar, willingly or not; they are labeling UFOs as national security threats in the documentary "Age of Disclosure, which is, to say the least, is hilarious. UFOs have been spotted since ancient times, and yet it is suddenly a national security threat when the technocrats crave more control and unquestioned privileges. I've analyzed the documentary second by second and explained all the contradictions in it thoroughly. The same goes for the Satanic narratives, where someone is fearmongering about how close we are to the end days, the Earth has gotten flat, and we should cry, pray, and do nothing else; this includes the so-called conspiracy theorists, who are, knowingly or not, distracting people from the physical world. If you lecture people about the paranormal for hours, and if your ultimate solution is prayer or supporting the "Left" or "Right", then you're practically controlled opposition and are enforcing dormancy and passivity upon people.

So what if the Earth is flat, or a donut, or a circle, or anything? So what if we have to pray? You can believe all that and pray all day, but both the real problem and its solution are outside the screen, in the real world.

This is why a lot of people, acting as controlled opposition (AKA pressure valves), always complain passively, quietly, and in a petty, obedient, academic way. Because they

ALWAYS find the answer in theorization, in-system solutions, dormancy, and hope. Can't you both pray and be independent and practical at the same time? Can't you hold more than one or two worldviews or values in your mind? Do you not deserve anything in this life? Shouldn't you have a say in anything? Does prayer and spirituality mean you must be small, submissive, and conform to evil and co-exist with it? Again, narrow minds! The scheme is always to narrow the mind and construct a belief that you have no power and that the Junto has gotten all the power, and you shall stay inside your mind and suffer while they eat up the planet; once you have that belief, you lose. Likewise, once you let go of spiritual concepts and narrow your mind strictly to money and survival, you lose, just in a different way.

I share this section only to expose the repeated patterns and observations that indirectly and directly prove the existence of at least some exclusive clubs or groups (including cults). Whatever concerns us is what concerns us! When it comes to events, changes, and your own mind, start looking bottom to top first, then top to bottom, in order to see: What is it that really affects you? How does it affect you? What's stopping you from resisting it? What can and cannot change based on your choice?

Theory is good so long as it enables practicality.

So, what if we assume the poles are actually walls around us, and that we are just an enclosure and a camp inside a bigger, more advanced Earth? What if scientists in their advanced UFOs from the other side of the ice walls visit us from time to time, and we are participating here for gaming or research purposes by projecting our original minds into our clones here, with populations reset when they get too advanced, and that AI is fast because of time dilation? So what, if the ancient myths describe the resets of highly advanced technology and genetic science, where there were hybrids of animals and humans? So what? Do you expect to uncover their secrets from the comfort of your bed? Co-exist with them and theorize for years while they continue?

People ask, "What is happening?" or "What will happen next?" or "Who made it happen?". Well, the answer is we need to go there and find out if we truly want to know. But they wouldn't let us, would they? They will force ignorance upon you, restrict you economically, lock you down geopolitically, or limit you with laws and regulations. Very convenient, by the way, how society is designed in a way that common people have no access to some places, need permission to cross borders or remote areas, and don't have the time or means to abandon their work for exploration and investigation, all while those in power are billionaires and therefore have access to restricted areas, or if not, they can simply buy people.

Are we watching a movie and waiting for things to happen to us? Are we waiting for the next tweet to decide our fate? To watch the next chapter? What the fuck is this? We will not magically reach any answers or outcomes simply by looking at the screen and thinking in circles; we will only become aware of THEM, and the fact that something doesn't make sense and works against us. Have you even seen an atom in a lab in person? Have you been to the White House or Antarctica? Do you even know what you are talking about when repeating what the theoretic science repeats? or do you assume full knowledge based on an article which you've read in Wikipedia?

Whoever or whatever limits you to the screen, and the screen only, and whatever restriction hides the answers, and whatever structure takes your power away and rigidly controls you, is the barrier to be passed first. The very first barrier is your mind, into which they embed subliminal and conscious self-sabotage, confusion, propaganda, and weakness. The next is your body, into which they put toxic chemicals from air and water alike. The next are the resources locked behind centralized monopolies.

The next is the legal system that prevents you from talking about these barriers. How do you expect to know what exactly it is that they do, besides economic and biological manipulation? How can you do that without actually being there and seeing the documents they hide behind the many excuses and mazes of society? What you can know is that they plot extensively and are, by definition, evil.

You can know that all these barriers are restricting your power by controlling your water, food, money, knowledge (the real kind), and therefore, your life. Knowledge only becomes power when you gain the ability to process it and act on it.

This is why all forums on the internet, even the so-called extreme, alternative, and controversial ones, always have this one rule: "Don't do or say anything illegal or call to action." Therefore, "As long as you don't matter in the real world outside the screen, and as long as you play in our little sandboxes without affecting us, you can be a big boy on the internet, talk forever, and even insult us or each other, as long as you don't see the government as a whole."

Terminology

Terminology for standard, invented, or re-defined terms and slang I sometimes use: The Junto invents new terms every day! Why wouldn't you do the same? The difference is, they invent euphemisms and replacements in order to deceive and hide the severity of the subject, while I do it to express my creativity and authority. Language is a tool for communication, yet it has been centralized by the Junto, just like many other things. To some degree, language forms and shifts naturally, and we shouldn't be afraid to get creative with it, make mistakes, or invent new words, as long as the main goal, which is communication, is possible and achieved.

Absurdification

Misrepresentation via injection of absurdity in any way into a previously sane, serious, or factual claim or idea in order to discredit it more easily.

Artificial Disintelligence

Slang for Artificial Intelligence (AI). Wordplay with {Disinformation}, comparing it to how AI acts as an automatic tool to prevent and damage people's intelligence.

Contentify

Turn a serious/practical matter into mere entertainment and a subject of passive consumption.

Covert19

Slang for [not so] covert social engineering operations during periods of manufactured emergency, imposing restrictions and tyranny upon people.

Dependize

Intentional act of causing dependency and removing independence from others, usually done subliminally.

Fag / Got a fag? / Fags are bad for your health.

[Brit.] Finely ground tobacco wrapped in paper; for smoking.
Work hard and exhaust yourself, or get tired through overuse or great strain or stress.

Howeverbot / Oftenbot

A general name for any LLM. Slang against AI's evasive language and non-answers that result from retractions, such as: "It is true, however..." and political correctness.

Independence

Mental and physical freedom and reliance on one's own experience and mind, plus a collective individual society. Not to be mistaken for antisocial postures or detachment.

Info Soup

Refers to a large pool of raw, unfiltered, and unprocessed thought in the mind. The constant expansion of this pool is considered to be the only true method of expanding perception.

In-System

Pro-mainstream mazes and structures that were created or emerged as a means of control and rule over the masses.

In-systemize

Intentional act of pulling the opposition back inside a system. Usually done subliminally and via propaganda. A verb for controlled opposition (Refers to the known system; different from the idea of decentralized/general systematization).

Label Wars

The never-ending abstraction, usage, and feud between inanimate labels that are directed by animate people. It encompasses tactics such as divide and conquer, distraction, false flag, and politics in general.

Mainstream

That which is favored by the controllers and manipulators of the masses, and, similarly, that which is overwhelmingly favored by the masses as a result of such manipulation.

Mallify

Figuratively turn something into a mall, applying the characteristics of a mall to it.

Not butting

Driven from LLM's habit of contrastive-grammar overuse: "Not only/just, but...".

Niggle / Niggler / Niggling

Worry unnecessarily or excessively / Argue over petty things.

Niggly

Causing irritation or annoyance; small and of little importance.

Peasantification

Covert and subliminal imposition of characteristics of a peasant onto a non-peasant individual.

Practicality

Ability to detach oneself from the screen and mindscape, and start observing and reacting to the real effects of happenings on the individual (internally) and, subsequently, on society and countries.

Probduction

Abbreviation for "Problem Production". Refers to an extremely popular political tactic used where governments create a problem, get a reaction from people (or fake it via media) and then give a solution (to their self-made problem) that benefits the government.

Psy-word / Word Batteries

Psychologically charged pre-engineered words / terms used in psychological operations.

Psycho Water / Psy-water

Refers to psychological manipulation via contaminated water. This is usually ignored and unnoticed by scientific studies due to dogma, peer pressure, job security concerns, and the assignment of a maximum amount of acceptable contamination for people to drink!

Serial Helpist / Serial Helper

Corporations, organizations, or people who are found everywhere, obsessively trying to help the entire planet, even engage in activities and projects outside their expertise. Their extreme, selfless benevolence makes one wonder if they are even human or divine.

Shelving

Constant labeling and bundling of claims, thoughts, and problems into pre-existing, in-system structures, in order to strip the immediacy away and cause dormancy. For example, my book is not a book on Pragmatism! It is a book about being practical. It's not a book on Individuality; it is a book about being an individual. Because once you label something like that, it sends a subliminal message: "Oh yeah, it has already been thought about by someone; it's a thing of the past". It diffuses and deprioritizes engagement. It is also misleading and confines the diverse bunch of ideas, and the unique way they are put together, into rigid, generalized categories; a Wikipedia page awaits to misrepresent every single one of them.

The Junto / They

Refers to non-static!? dynamics of power structures and networks, and past, current, and future people in charge of such structures. It is not strictly limited to a fixed label or group!?

Theotrics

A combination of 'Theory' and 'Theatrics' as a criticism of the inutility of modern academia.

Theoritification

Generous marking of something, even if doing so would be unfitting or misleading, as a theory, in order to induce impracticality. (Where theorization conforms to definitions and boundaries of what the academia considers a Theory, and operates inside it, generating theories via such standards.)

The or Yee

Wordplay with {Theory}. The and Yee are both definite articles. Theories (as in the mainstream academic sense) explain the same thing we all know, but in a different way, in order to assert dominance over knowledge, via jargon overload and gatekeeping.

Verification Laundering

It refers to the practice of unsuspecting humans solving proxied captchas for AI bots.

Videofication

It refers to the near disappearance of articles, blogs, and practical, fast access to knowledge, as well as the burial of almost all information into YouTube and social media videos.

MOST HEINOUS TERMS

These words themselves aren't heinous, but due to their overwhelming association with heinous people and corrupted concepts and events, they have become tainted because they are usually used in a way to smear good or neutral concepts and people, or, conversely, portray questionable concepts and people as angelic or good.

Conspiracy Theorist, Migrant, Lower Class, Fringe, Old, Outdated

When used as an insult, and they are used a lot.

Philanthropist, alumnus, Nobel Prize winner, global health, charitable

Surreptitious words with the ability to hide surreptitious people behind them.

Modern, Updated, Up-to-date, Smart, High-tech, Futuristic, 4K, 8K, 5G, AI, Digital ID, Biometric, Data, Face recognition, Automatic, Algorithm, App

These are technocracy terms: constant forced obsolescence and raising prices (therefore dependency and economic pressure), forced updates and the portrayal of updates as

something inherently good, while the real purpose is enforcement of a monopolistic lockdown, forced smart/AI integration for surveillance, and 5G/Fiber for faster, better, and more expensive surveillance.

Consumer, Getting Started, Productive, Work, Study, Essay, Homework, Influencer
These are meant for the lobotomization of the public and the enforcement of slavery, such as the 9-to-5 norm upon them. (SPSP Foundations)

Meditation, Minimalist, New-Age, Sleek, Trendy, Fashionable, Calories, Gluten, Vegetarian, Vegan, Lactose
Pacifier terms and practices are used to keep people passive, obedient, deprived, distracted, and soft.

Elections, Migrants, Votes, Woke, Anti-Woke, Leftists, Rightists, Democrats, Republicans, Liberals, Radicals, Conservatives, Socialists, Communists, Capitalists (And all the other -isms and -ists).
Political psy-labels are used to disunite the common people and create an illusion of control, authenticity, law and order, and progress coming from above.

Gen Z, Boomer, Gen X, Millennial, Alpha Male, Beta Male, Incel, Chad, Cool, White vs. Black, Gay vs. Straight, Vaxxer vs. Anti-Vaxxer
Cultural psy-labels are used to disunite the common people.

War 'efforts', the United Nations, World Leaders, International, Global, Authorities, Officials. Global Health, Peace
Global domination terms.

Strongly Condemns, Is very concerned, Demands Immediate..., Asks for restraint.
Newly invented hedging material for diplomats to gorge themselves in and forget their responsibility and practical actions. Creates the illusion that a tweet on social media matters and should be enough. Contentification of entire politics (It always was, but it's broader now).

National Security, Healthcare, Borders, Drugs, Guns, Crimes
Sensationalized terms are used to enforce and excuse unrelated restrictions and events.

Arthur Sawyer Cage

Sounds similar to “Author saw your cage”.


Maybe I should buy
that product!

Approved Grammar

Grammar Approved

The image bellow consists of 100000 dots, representing the population of one of the smallest cities in the United States. 80000 copies of this picture, and you would roughly match the current world population.

Find a president in the picture; There is an orange dot